

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38



# *Clinical Practice Guidelines for Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*

This document may not be copied or reproduced without the  
express written permission of the AAO

©American Association of Orthodontists  
Created: 1996  
Amended: 2001, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2017, 2019

1  
2

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	3
Evidence-Based Dentistry	4
Definition	4
Levels of Evidence	4
Evidence-Based Practice	4
Orthodontic Treatment Definition	5
Pretreatment Considerations	5
Examination	5
Diagnostic Records	6
Referral	6
Diagnosis and Treatment Planning	7
Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Jaw Size, Relationship of Jaw to Cranial Base, Dental Arch Relationship and Dental Alveolus	7
Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Tooth Position, Discrepancies of Tooth Size, Arch Length and Arch Form	11
Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Abnormalities of the Dentition (number, size, and shape), Vitality, Eruption Pattern, and Periodontal Support	12
Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Dentofacial Functional Abnormalities	14
Orthodontic Considerations for Craniofacial Anomalies, Cleft Lip and Palate	16
Treatment Objectives and Limiting Factors	17
Goals	17
Limiting Factors	17
Treatment Consultation and Informed Consent	18
Risks Associated with Orthodontic Treatment	18
Orthodontic Treatment	19
Orthodontic Supervision	19
Dynamic Reassessment	20
Case Management	20
Post Treatment Evaluation and Outcomes Assessment	20
Post Treatment Records	20
Positive Outcomes of Treatment	21
Negative Outcomes of Treatment	21
Retention	21
Record Keeping	21
Transfer of Orthodontic Patients	22
Recommendations to the Transferring Practitioner	22
Recommendations to the Accepting Practitioner	23
Appendix A	24
Historical Development	24
Updating of Clinical Practice Guidelines	24
Appendix B: Selected References	25

3

1 **Introduction**

2  
3 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics is a specialty area of dentistry concerned with the  
4 supervision, guidance and correction of the growing or mature dentofacial structures, including  
5 those conditions that require movement of teeth or correction of malrelationships and  
6 malformations of their related structures and the adjustment of relationships between and among  
7 teeth and facial bones by the application of forces and/or the stimulation and redirection of  
8 functional forces within the craniofacial complex. Major responsibilities of orthodontic practice  
9 include the diagnosis, prevention, interception, and treatment of all forms of malocclusion of the  
10 teeth and associated alterations of their surrounding structures; the design, application, and  
11 control of functional and corrective appliances; and the guidance of the dentition and its supporting  
12 structures to attain and maintain optimal occlusal relations and physiologic and esthetic harmony  
13 among facial and cranial structures.

14  
15 A specialist in orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics meets educational standards established  
16 by the Commission on Dental Accreditation of the American Dental Association (ADA) and must  
17 possess advanced knowledge in biomedical, clinical, and basic sciences. This knowledge includes  
18 the biology of tooth movement, radiographic imaging and cephalometric measurements,  
19 orthodontic diagnosis, treatment planning, surgical orthodontics, biomechanical principles, the  
20 effects of growth and development on tooth movement, application of orthopedic forces to  
21 dentofacial structures, and patient management and motivation.

22  
23 The American Association of Orthodontists (AAO) is the leading national organization of dentists  
24 who limit their practice to orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics and is recognized by the ADA  
25 as the sponsoring organization of the national certifying board, the American Board of  
26 Orthodontics. The membership of the AAO includes the vast majority of practicing orthodontists in  
27 the United States and Canada. The AAO has the background, expertise, and professional  
28 responsibility to assist the dental profession and the public by developing clinical practice  
29 guidelines for orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics. The AAO recognizes its role in upholding  
30 the public trust granted to it by presenting these clinical practice guidelines to help practitioners  
31 develop judgments on diagnosis, treatment planning, and timing of orthodontic and dentofacial  
32 orthopedic therapy. The primary concern of the AAO is the provision of high quality orthodontic  
33 care and the protection of the public.

34  
35 Practice guidelines, as defined by the Institute of Medicine, are “systematically developed  
36 statements to assist practitioner and patient decisions about appropriate health care for specific  
37 clinical circumstances.”

38  
39 The Orthodontic Clinical Practice Guidelines for Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics  
40 presented in this document are condition based and are related to the International Classification  
41 of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition (ICD 10 codes). This approach recognizes the need  
42 for integrated treatment of oral and dentofacial conditions rather than isolated treatment  
43 procedures. These guidelines are also directed toward the process of patient care and outline  
44 considerations related to diagnosis, treatment, and quality of care.

45  
46 These guidelines were derived from a professional consensus, based on a review of relevant  
47 clinical and scientific literature, the expert opinion of educators, and the clinical experience of  
48 practicing orthodontists. Similar documents written by other organizations and publications related  
49 to guideline development were also reviewed.

1 There are various professionally accepted philosophies regarding orthodontic diagnosis,  
2 treatment, and retention. Because of the nature of the doctor-patient relationship, the practitioner,  
3 who is actively engaged in treating the patient, is in the best position to evaluate and interpret the  
4 complexities, timing, and potential efficacy from among different philosophies and systems  
5 available. Deviations from these guidelines may be appropriate based on professional judgment  
6 and individual patient needs and preferences. Where a practitioner chooses to deviate from these  
7 guidelines (based on the circumstances of a particular patient or for any other reason) the  
8 practitioner is advised to note in the patient's record the reason for the procedure followed. Finally,  
9 it should be understood that adherence to these guidelines does not guarantee a successful  
10 treatment outcome.

11  
12 The AAO recognizes that these guidelines may be used by insurance carriers and other payers,  
13 attorneys in malpractice litigation, and various entities with an interest in orthodontics. The  
14 Association encourages all interested persons to become familiar with the Guidelines. This  
15 document was not developed to establish standards of care or to be used for reimbursement or  
16 litigation purposes. The AAO cautions that these uses involve considerations that are beyond the  
17 scope of the Guidelines.

18  
19 The professional conduct of members of the AAO is governed by the Principles of Ethics and  
20 Code of Professional Conduct of the AAO and the ADA.

## 21 **Evidence-Based Dentistry**

### 22 *Definition*

23  
24 The following outline of orthodontic diagnostic and treatment considerations are evidence based  
25 recommendations. Evidence-based dentistry (EBD) is an approach to oral health care that  
26 requires the judicious integration of systematic assessments of clinically relevant scientific  
27 evidence relating to the patient's oral and medical condition and history, with the dentist's clinical  
28 expertise and the patient's treatment needs and preferences.

### 29 *Levels of Evidence*

30  
31 Rating systems exist to evaluate the strength of various study designs. The Centre for Evidence-  
32 based Medicine provides background information on this topic, as well as a commonly used table  
33 for the "Levels of Evidence." In general, the levels of evidence, from strongest to weakest, are:

- 34 Meta-analysis
- 35 Systematic Review
- 36 Randomized Trial
- 37 Cohort Study
- 38 Case/Control Study
- 39 Case Series
- 40 Expert Opinion

### 41 *Evidence-Based Practice*

42  
43 Evidence-based practice is assisted by critical evaluation of the body of literature on a specific  
44 topic. In particular, well-conducted systematic reviews and meta-analyses can provide guidance  
45 to assist orthodontists in clinical decision-making. Some resources for accessing evidence-based  
46 literature are:  
47

- 1 1. AAO Evidence Based Orthodontic Research Website: A collection of systematic  
2 reviews, meta-analyses, practice guidelines, and summary statements on  
3 orthodontic topics.
- 4
- 5 2. The ADA Center for Evidence-based Dentistry: A website which houses  
6 information on evidence-based dentistry, as well as a listing of systematic reviews  
7 in dentistry. Additionally, this site provides links to other evidence-based resources.  
8
- 9 3. PubMed: PubMed comprises more than 25 million citations for biomedical literature  
10 from MEDLINE, life science journals, and online books.
- 11
- 12 4. Cochrane Collaboration: An international nonprofit organization that develops  
13 evidence-based systematic reviews on health care interventions.  
14

### 15 **Orthodontic Treatment Definition**

16  
17 Orthodontic treatment is defined as a complex, professionally guided process which alters the  
18 structure of the dentofacial complex requiring a clinical examination; pre-treatment diagnostic  
19 records such as radiographs; diagnosis and treatment planning; informed consent; supervision of  
20 the applied therapy; remediation and re-assessment of therapy; retention; and retrospective  
21 evaluation by an appropriately trained and licensed dentist. Aspects of treatment require face-to-  
22 face, in-office interaction with an appropriately licensed clinician.  
23

### 24 **Pretreatment Considerations**

25  
26 A screening examination may be performed to determine the nature of the orthodontic problem,  
27 and to determine if and when treatment is indicated. When treatment is indicated, a  
28 comprehensive examination must be performed that should include:  
29

#### 30 *Examination*

#### 31 32 A. Chief Complaint

33 The chief complaint or the reason for seeking treatment should be recorded as described  
34 by the patient, parent or legal guardian.  
35

#### 36 B. Medical and Dental History

37 An appropriate medical and dental history must be obtained as a part of the initial  
38 evaluation of the patient. If treatment is to be delayed until a future date, an updated history  
39 may be necessary. Patients/parents/legal guardians should be requested to promptly  
40 advise the orthodontist of any change in the patient's health history.  
41

#### 42 C. Clinical Examination

43 A comprehensive clinical examination should include the following with all findings  
44 recorded in the patient's record:  
45

- 46 1. An extraoral facial assessment to determine facial form, symmetry, soft-tissue  
47 harmony, and status of the perioral musculature. This determines deviations from  
48 normal regarding a patient's sagittal, vertical, and transverse maxillofacial  
49 relationships and to assess the relationship of the dentition to the facial structures.

2. An intraoral examination to assess the condition of the hard and soft tissues of the mouth, (including the periodontium) and the static and functional status of the patient's occlusion.
3. An evaluation of the temporomandibular joint and associated musculature to assess function and disease.
4. Verification of the presence of any oral parafunctional habits.

### *Diagnostic Records*

Diagnostic records, along with a comprehensive examination and history, form the foundation upon which a diagnosis and treatment plan with options are built, and are a standard of orthodontic care.

Diagnostic records and tests will vary with the nature of the patient's condition but must be sufficient to identify the problems, formulate a diagnosis, and allow the development of an acceptable course of treatment goals. Where limited orthodontic procedures are anticipated, diagnostic records may vary from those associated with comprehensive care. Limited or comprehensive treatment encompasses all treatment techniques, including aligners or aligners in combination with fixed appliances and auxiliaries to significantly alter the alignment or occlusion and function. The gathering of appropriate diagnostic records should be considered a standard of care to allow for proper diagnosis, treatment plan and treatment rendered.

Pretreatment unaltered diagnostic records for comprehensive orthodontic treatment should include the following to establish a baseline for documenting pre-existing conditions, treatment and/or growth changes:

1. Extraoral and intraoral images (may include digital or video images) to supplement the clinical findings.
2. Dental casts (or digital models) to assess the inter-arch and intra-arch relationship of the teeth, to help determine arch length and width requirements, and to assess arch symmetry.
3. Radiographic imaging (intraoral radiographs, panoramic radiographs, cephalometrics, CBCT, etc.) to assess the condition and developmental status of the teeth and hard tissue supporting structures, and to identify any dental anomalies or pathology.
4. Radiographic imaging to permit relative evaluation of the size, shape, and positions of the relevant hard and soft tissue craniofacial structures including the dentition, and to aid in the identification of skeletal anomalies and/or pathology. Three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) may be used as an imaging source to obtain this information.

### *Referral*

Practitioners must make a recommendation for referral of patients to general dentists, other dental specialists, physicians, or other health care practitioners whenever, in the judgment of a practitioner, referral would be in the best interest of a patient.

1 **Diagnosis and Treatment Planning**

2  
3 Prior to the initiation of orthodontic treatment, a diagnosis of the patient's oral health condition  
4 must be made. A diagnosis allows for the development of a treatment plan that addresses the  
5 patient's chief complaint; medical and dental history, and dental, facial, skeletal, functional, and/or  
6 psychosocial problems.

7  
8 After a diagnosis has been established, a treatment plan must be developed. Such a plan will  
9 facilitate coordination of the treatment objectives and the various methods available for addressing  
10 them. A well-documented treatment plan should be based on the findings from the medical and  
11 dental history, clinical examination, diagnostic records, a critical evaluation of the patient's needs  
12 and preferences, and the clinician's professional judgement and preferences. A documented  
13 treatment plan should meet the standard of care.

14  
15 The plan should include:

- 16  
17 1. A list of the patient's dental, facial, skeletal, functional, and/or psychosocial  
18 problems.  
19  
20 2. A diagnosis which coordinates the patient/parents/legal guardian's chief complaint  
21 with the clinical findings.  
22  
23 3. A documented plan for therapy which includes treatment goals, appliance selection,  
24 sequencing and timing of treatment, coordination with other health care providers,  
25 and retention.  
26

27 The treatment plan should be periodically reassessed throughout treatment with progress records  
28 taken as deemed appropriate by the clinician. This reassessment should take into consideration  
29 various limiting factors and establish short- and/or long-term objectives.  
30

31 **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Jaw Size, Relationship of Jaw**  
32 **to Cranial Base, Dental Arch Relationship and Dental Alveolus**

33  
34 The following conditions may indicate the need for orthodontic or dentofacial orthopedic treatment.  
35 These conditions may be structural, functional or esthetic in nature and may appear in various  
36 combinations, and are not limited to the outline below. Frequently used treatment options, which  
37 may include the removal of primary or permanent teeth, are listed for each condition. Adjunctive  
38 procedures to those listed used to supplement anchorage needs and improve treatment outcomes  
39 include but are not limited to: osseointegrated implants, mini-screw implants, miniplates and other  
40 temporary anchorage devices.

41  
42 I. Maxillary/Dentoalveolar Hyperplasia (Large Maxilla)

43  
44 A. Diagnostic Considerations

- 45  
46 1. Anteroposterior  
47 a. Mid-Face Protrusion  
48 b. Dentoalveolar Protrusion  
49 c. Distoclusion  
50 d. Excess Overjet  
51 e. Asymmetry

- 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6
  - 7
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10
  - 11
  - 12
  - 13
  - 14
  - 15
  - 16
  - 17
  - 18
  - 19
  - 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
  - 25
  - 26
  - 27
  - 28
  - 29
  - 30
  - 31
  - 32
  - 33
  - 34
  - 35
  - 36
  - 37
  - 38
  - 39
  - 40
  - 41
  - 42
  - 43
  - 44
  - 45
  - 46
  - 47
  - 48
  - 49
  - 50
  - 51
2. Vertical
    - a. Long, Lower Anterior Face Height
    - b. Maxillary Vertical Excess
    - c. Excessive Gingival Display
    - d. Deep Overbite
    - e. Open Bite
    - f. Lip Incompetency
    - g. Asymmetry
  3. Transverse
    - a. Buccal Maxillary Cross-bite (unilateral or bilateral; functional or structural)
    - b. Cant of the occlusal plane
    - c. Asymmetry
- B. Treatment Options
1. Primary Dentition - Treatment Indicated Under Certain Circumstances, Appliances Vary
  2. Transitional Dentition
    - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliances
    - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliances
    - c. Space Maintenance
  3. Adolescent Dentition
    - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliances
    - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliances
    - c. Fixed Orthodontic Appliances Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery (surgery usually performed after majority of growth completed)
  4. Adult Dentition
    - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliances
    - b. Fixed Orthodontic Appliances Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery
- II. Maxillary/Dentoalveolar Hypoplasia (Small Maxilla)
- A. Diagnostic Considerations
1. Anteroposterior
    - a. Mid-Face Deficiency
    - b. Dentoalveolar Deficiency
    - c. Mesioocclusion
    - d. Anterior Cross-bite (functional or structural)
    - e. Negative Overjet
    - f. Asymmetry
  2. Vertical
    - a. Short, Lower Anterior Face Height
    - b. Dentoalveolar Deficiency
    - c. Deep Overbite



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

- d. Open Bite
  - e. Lip Redundancy
  - f. Asymmetry
3. Transverse
- a. Lingual Posterior Cross-bite (unilateral or bilateral; functional or structural)
  - b. Occlusal Plane Cant
  - c. Asymmetry
  - d. Transverse Deficiency without Posterior Cross-bite

B. Treatment Options

- 1. Primary Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 2. Transitional Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 3. Adolescent Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 4. Adult Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery

III. Mandibular/Dentoalveolar Hyperplasia (Large Mandible)

A. Diagnostic Considerations

- 1. Anteroposterior
  - a. Prognathic Facial Pattern
  - b. Mesioocclusion
  - c. Anterior Cross-bite (functional or structural)
  - d. Macrogenia
  - e. Asymmetry
- 2. Vertical
  - a. Open Bite
  - b. Deep Overbite
  - c. Long Lower Facial Height/Steep Mandibular Plane Angle
  - d. Asymmetry
- 3. Transverse
  - a. Posterior Cross-bite (unilateral or bilateral; functional or structural)
  - b. Asymmetry

B. Treatment Options

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

1. Primary Dentition - Treatment Indicated Under Certain Circumstances, Appliances Vary
2. Transitional Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
3. Adolescent Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
4. Adult Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery

IV. Mandibular/Dentoalveolar Hypoplasia (Small Mandible)

A. Diagnostic Considerations

1. Anteroposterior
  - a. Mandibular Retrognathic Facial Pattern
  - b. Excess Overjet
  - c. Distoclusion
  - d. Asymmetry
2. Vertical
  - a. Open Bite
  - b. Deep Overbite
  - c. Short Lower Face Height
  - d. Long Lower Face Height
3. Transverse
  - a. Posterior Cross-bite (unilateral or bilateral; functional or structural)
  - b. Asymmetry

B. Treatment Options

1. Primary Dentition - Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
2. Transitional Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
3. Adolescent Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - c. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery (surgery usually performed after majority of growth completed)
4. Adult Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance

b. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery

**Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Tooth Position, Discrepancies of Tooth Size, Arch Length, and Arch Form**

These conditions may appear in various combinations and are not limited to the following. Frequently used treatment options, which may include the removal of primary or permanent teeth, are listed for each condition. Adjunctive procedures to those listed include modification of tooth size, restorative replacement, surgical exposure, and appropriate soft tissue surgery.

I. Deficient Arch Length (Crowding)

A. Diagnostic Considerations

1. Facial-Lingual Displacement
2. Supra/Infra Eruption
3. Rotations
4. Impactions
5. Axial Inclination of Teeth (Anterior or Posterior)
6. Tooth Size
7. Premature Loss of Primary Teeth
8. Ankylosis
9. Supernumeraries and aplasias
10. Frenal attachments
11. Transpositions

B. Treatment Options

1. Primary Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Space Maintainer
  - b. Extraction of Primary Teeth
2. Transitional Dentition
  - a. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - c. Serial Extraction
3. Adolescent Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
  - c. Extractions of Permanent or Remaining Primary Teeth
4. Adult Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Extraction of Permanent Teeth
5. Interdisciplinary Referral

II. Excessive Arch Length (Spacing)

A. Diagnostic Considerations

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

1. Skeletal Arch Size
2. Tooth Size
3. Congenitally Missing Teeth
4. Supernumeraries and Aplasias
5. Axial Inclination of Teeth
6. Facial-Lingual Displacement
7. Rotations
8. Fibrous Gingival Hyperplasia
9. Frenal Attachments

B. Treatment Options

1. Primary Dentition - Treatment Rarely Indicated
2. Transitional Dentition - Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
3. Adolescent Dentition - Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
4. Adult Dentition - Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
5. Interdisciplinary Referral

III. Discrepancies of Arch Form

A. Diagnostic Considerations

1. Asymmetry
2. Interarch Coordination
3. Abnormal Occlusal Planes: Curves of Wilson and Spee
4. Bi-level Occlusal Plane

B. Treatment Options

1. Primary Dentition - Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
2. Mixed Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
3. Adolescent Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
4. Adult Dentition
  - a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
  - b. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Orthognathic Surgery

**Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Abnormalities of the Dentition (number, size, and shape), Vitality, Eruption Pattern, and Periodontal Support**

Anomalies of tooth number, morphology or eruption pattern should be diagnosed and managed as soon as reasonably practical according to the particular requirements of each clinical situation. These conditions may appear in various combinations, and may indicate the need for orthodontic or dentofacial orthopedic treatment. Some of the frequently used treatment options may require a multidisciplinary approach and may include the extraction of primary or permanent teeth.

- 1 A. Diagnostic Considerations
- 2
- 3 1. Supernumerary Teeth
- 4 2. Missing Teeth
- 5 a. Congenital (Anodontia)
- 6 b. Pathologic
- 7 c. Traumatic
- 8 d. Extracted
- 9 3. Ectopic Erupting Teeth
- 10 4. Impacted Teeth
- 11 5. Eruption Anomalies
- 12 6. Over-Retained Primary Teeth
- 13 7. Ankylosed Teeth
- 14 8. Transposition
- 15 9. Atypical Crown Morphology
- 16 10. Premature Loss of Primary Teeth
- 17 11. Atypical Root Morphology
- 18 12. Root Resorption
- 19 13. Carious or Fractured Teeth
- 20 14. Character of Hard and Soft Tissue Supporting Structures
- 21 15. Tooth Vitality
- 22
- 23 B. Treatment Options
- 24
- 25 1. Supernumerary Teeth
- 26 a. Surgical Intervention
- 27 b. Extraction
- 28 c. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 29 d. No Treatment
- 30
- 31 2. Missing Teeth
- 32 a. Space Maintenance/Space Regaining
- 33 b. Prosthetic Replacement of Teeth/Implants
- 34 c. Transplantation
- 35 d. Maintenance of Primary Teeth
- 36 e. Space Closure
- 37 f. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 38
- 39 3. Ectopic Teeth
- 40 a. Extraction
- 41 b. Surgical Intervention
- 42 c. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 43
- 44 4. Impacted Teeth
- 45 a. Surgical Intervention
- 46 b. Extraction
- 47 c. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 48 d. No Treatment
- 49
- 50 5. Eruption Anomalies
- 51 a. Surgical Intervention

- 1                    b.      Retention with or without Coronal Modification
- 2                    c.      Extraction
- 3                    d.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 4                    e.      Referral for Medical Evaluation
- 5
- 6                    6.      Over-Retained Primary Teeth
- 7                    a.      Extraction
- 8
- 9                    7.      Ankylosed Teeth
- 10                   a.      Extraction
- 11                   b.      Surgical Luxation and/or Repositioning
- 12                   c.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 13                   d.      Retention with or without Coronal Modification
- 14
- 15                   8.      Transposition
- 16                   a.      Extraction
- 17                   b.      Retention with or without Coronal Modification
- 18                   c.      Transplantation
- 19                   d.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 20
- 21                   9.      Atypical Tooth Morphology
- 22                   a.      Retention with or without Coronal Modification
- 23                   b.      Extraction
- 24                   c.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 25
- 26                   10.     Premature Loss of Primary Teeth
- 27                   a.      Space Maintenance
- 28                   b.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 29
- 30                   11.     Atypical Root Morphology
- 31                   a.      Monitor Radiographically
- 32                   b.      Extraction
- 33
- 34                   12.     Root Resorption
- 35                   a.      Monitor Radiographically
- 36                   b.      Extraction
- 37                   c.      Stabilization
- 38                   d.      Treatment Alternative of Initiating Rest Periods
- 39
- 40                   13.     Carious or Fractured Teeth
- 41                   a.      Reposition Tooth or Root
- 42                   b.      Monitor Radiographically
- 43                   c.      Extraction
- 44                   d.      Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 45

### **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Dentofacial Functional Abnormalities**

46  
47  
48 Dentofacial functional abnormalities may occur in combination with other dentofacial conditions  
49 and should be diagnosed and managed according to the particular requirements of each clinical  
50 situation. Correction or control of functional problems may involve alteration of behavior patterns,  
51 may require orthodontic/dentofacial orthopedic treatment, or multidisciplinary treatment. The

1 influence of functional abnormalities on dentofacial development is variable, and cause and effect  
2 relationships are difficult to determine.

3  
4 A. Diagnostic Considerations

- 5  
6 1. Lip Size and Function  
7  
8 2. Tongue Size and Function  
9 a. Abnormal Tongue Function  
10 b. Ankyloglossia  
11 c. Microglossia or Macroglossia  
12  
13 3. Deleterious Habits  
14 a. Thumb, Finger or Lip Sucking  
15 b. Pacifier Sucking  
16 c. Tongue Thrust/Sucking  
17 d. Clenching  
18 e. Clenching and Bruxism  
19 f. Lip/Cheek Biting  
20 g. Nail Biting  
21 h. Foreign Objects (e.g., pipes, pens, pencils, musical instruments)  
22 i. Smoking and/or Drug Usage  
23  
24 4. Airway Obstruction  
25 a. Nasopharyngeal Morphology  
26 b. Sleep Apnea  
27 c. Allergies  
28 d. Pathology  
29  
30 5. Speech Disorders  
31  
32 6. Mandibular Dysfunction  
33 a. Dental Interferences  
34 b. Skeletal Abnormalities  
35 c. Neuromuscular Abnormalities  
36 d. Temporomandibular Dysfunction  
37  
38 7. Trauma  
39  
40 8. Temporomandibular Disorders  
41 Temporomandibular disorders represent a broad range of conditions which involve  
42 medical, dental, and psychological factors. Such disorders may be associated with  
43 stress, habits, emotional disorders, structural malrelationships, oro-facial pain,  
44 trauma to the face or head, occlusal disharmonies, and medical problems  
45 associated with osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, or viral disease. These factors  
46 may be associated with temporomandibular disorders in one individual with no  
47 symptomatology or pathology in another.  
48

49 B. Treatment Options

- 50  
51 1. Lip Size and Function

- 1 a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 2 b. Therapeutic Exercises/Myofunctional Therapy
- 3 c. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
- 4 d. Surgery
- 5
- 6 2. Tongue Size and Function
- 7 a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 8 b. Therapeutic Exercises/Myofunctional Therapy
- 9 c. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
- 10 d. Surgical Reduction
- 11 e. Lingual Frenectomy
- 12
- 13 3. Deleterious Habits
- 14 a. Behavior Management
- 15 b. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
- 16 c. Therapeutic Exercises
- 17 d. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 18
- 19 4. Airway Obstruction
- 20 a. Referral for Evaluation/Treatment/Surgery
- 21 b. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
- 22 c. Orthognathic Surgery
- 23
- 24 5. Speech Disorders
- 25 a. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 26 b. Referral for Evaluation/Treatment/Myofunctional Therapy
- 27
- 28 6. Mandibular Dysfunction
- 29 a. Occlusal Equilibration (Modification of Tooth Form)
- 30 b. Fixed or Removable Orthodontic Appliance
- 31 c. Fixed Orthodontic Appliance Adjunctive to Surgery
- 32 d. Functional/Orthopedic Appliance
- 33
- 34 7. Temporomandibular Disorders
- 35 Numerous treatment modalities, including orthodontics, have produced beneficial
- 36 results in the management of temporomandibular disorders. However, no singular
- 37 treatment modality may necessarily be definitive for any particular patient. There is
- 38 no scientific proof that any particular method of orthodontic treatment, whether
- 39 involving extraction or non-extraction, has any causative effect on
- 40 temporomandibular disorders. There is no reliable method for predicting or
- 41 preventing future temporomandibular disorders in any particular individual.
- 42

### **Orthodontic Considerations for Craniofacial Anomalies, Cleft Lip and Palate**

45 Management of patients with these and other anomalies is, in many cases, best provided by a  
 46 multidisciplinary team of dentists and physicians and other healthcare professionals. The optimal  
 47 time for the first evaluation of these patients is within the first few days of life, and referral for team  
 48 evaluation and management is appropriate at any age. Treatment plans should be developed and  
 49 implemented on the basis of team recommendations. The orthodontist, as a member of the  
 50 craniofacial team, should obtain baseline diagnostic records, assist in treatment planning, and



1 perform orthodontic treatment as needed taking into account those factors that may influence  
2 surgical management of the patient.

3  
4 For patients at risk for developing malocclusion or maxillomandibular discrepancy, diagnostic  
5 records should be collected at appropriate intervals. Depending on the goals to be accomplished,  
6 alternating periods of treatment and retention may be necessary beginning at birth. For example,  
7 patients with cleft lip and cleft palate may require presurgical maxillary orthopedics to improve the  
8 position of the maxillary alveolar segments prior to lip and palate closure. Later in life, timing of  
9 bone grafting of alveolar clefts to unify the segments should be determined by the stage of dental  
10 development and with the collaboration of the orthodontist and surgeon.

## 11 **Treatment Objectives and Limiting Factors**

### 13 *Goals*

14  
15  
16 The goals of orthodontic treatment are optimum dentofacial function, health, stability and esthetics.  
17 While these goals are desirable, it should be recognized that individual patients have problems,  
18 concerns and conditions which may prevent the attainment of optimal results in every case, and  
19 that the non-attainment of some of the goals of orthodontic treatment in a particular patient is no  
20 indication of negligence by the orthodontist even when no limiting factors are present.

21  
22 Some patients may simply not desire optimum treatment. The orthodontic treatment goals of the  
23 orthodontist should align with the patient's goals and reasonable expectations. Whenever these  
24 two sets of goals do not fully align it is important for the orthodontist to identify the problems, risks  
25 and limitations of limited treatment goals and outcomes. However, it may be appropriate to  
26 address the patient's limited anatomical and/or functional concerns if the patient has other values  
27 which take precedence; provided that limited therapy is not in and of itself detrimental to the  
28 patient or leaves the patient with problems that would not be anticipated with no intervention at all.

29  
30 For example, a patient may have a problem that is complex, lengthy, and expensive to fully  
31 resolve. The patient may choose to resolve some aspects of the problem because reducing the  
32 scope of treatment is simpler, shorter, less costly, and achieves some positive outcomes which  
33 satisfy the patient's objectives for seeking treatment. It is appropriate for the orthodontist to  
34 address limited goals provided there is informed consent, a limited outcome is ethically and legally  
35 permissible, and the orthodontist and patient agree to limited treatment.

### 36 *Limiting Factors*

37  
38  
39 Orthodontic treatment results may be affected by extenuating circumstances beyond the  
40 practitioner's control. These limiting factors should be documented in the patient's record when  
41 they occur and the patient/parent/guardian should be informed. The following are some of the  
42 more common limiting factors affecting orthodontic therapy:

- 43
- 44 1. Severity of the pretreatment condition
- 45 2. Pretreatment agreement to pursue limited objectives
- 46 3. Abnormal skeletal morphology or growth, during or after treatment
- 47 4. Abnormal size, shape, or number of teeth
- 48 5. Aberrant tooth eruption patterns
- 49 6. Patient's failure to initiate timely treatment, continue or complete treatment
- 50 7. Compromised periodontal tissues

- 1 8. Persistent deleterious habits or abnormalities of muscle function relating to the
- 2 dentofacial complex
- 3 9. Inability or unwillingness of the patient to cooperate with treatment (e.g., the wear
- 4 and/or care of appliances, oral hygiene measures, diet, or keeping appointments)
- 5 10. Failure to complete all recommended aspects of treatment
- 6 11. Poor quality, untimely or inappropriate integration of other recommended or
- 7 required dental and/or medical services
- 8 12. Medical complications or underlying systemic conditions
- 9 13. Patient transferring to or from another provider during orthodontic treatment
- 10 14. Incomplete correction or relapse of orthognathic surgical procedures

## 11 **Treatment Consultation and Informed Consent**

12 A discussion must be held with the patient/parents/legal guardian utilizing lay terminology to  
13 provide sufficient information for the responsible party to accept or reject the proposed treatment  
14 plan. The informed consent must be documented in a signed agreement and a discussion should  
15 consider inclusion of:  
16  
17

- 18 1. A description of the diagnosis and treatment plan.
- 19 2. A discussion of reasonable alternative treatments.
- 20 3. The relevant risks, compromises, and limitations associated with the proposed
- 21 treatment plan and alternative treatments.
- 22 4. A discussion of any portion of the treatment plan that will require the services of
- 23 other dental or medical health care providers and the anticipated effects of such
- 24 services on the orthodontic treatment plan.
- 25 5. The prognosis related to all treatment plans, including the option of no treatment.
- 26 6. A discussion of the patient's responsibility relating to the care (e.g., maintaining
- 27 periodic recall visits with their general dentist).
- 28 7. An estimate of the duration of active treatment and retention.
- 29 8. Financial arrangements may be considered at this time.

## 30 *Risks Associated with Orthodontic Treatment*

31 All forms of medical and dental treatment, including orthodontics, involve some risks and/or  
32 limitations. Fortunately, in orthodontics, serious complications are infrequent. The orthodontist  
33 should determine which potential risks to disclose to the patient in the exercise of sound  
34 professional judgment given the clinical condition of the patient. Due to the length of orthodontic  
35 treatment, conditions may arise which are coincident, but not caused by orthodontic treatment.  
36 Some of the risks associated with orthodontic treatment include:  
37  
38

- 39 1. Tooth decay, or permanent markings (decalcification).
- 40 2. The length of the roots of teeth may become shortened. This may be of no clinical
- 41 significance or may require the discontinuance of orthodontic treatment with
- 42 subsequent interdisciplinary treatment to stabilize the teeth. In some cases root
- 43 shortening may be pre-existing.
- 44 3. The health of the bone and periodontal support of the teeth may be affected.
- 45 4. The teeth and/or jaws have a tendency to change their positions after treatment.
- 46 5. Temporomandibular joint problems may appear concurrently with orthodontic
- 47 treatment, but may not be related to the treatment.
- 48 6. The vitality of a tooth may be compromised.
- 49
- 50

7. Orthodontic appliances may irritate or damage the oral tissues and may cause injury if accidentally swallowed or aspirated.
8. Dental materials, instruments, and equipment may result in damage or injury to the oral tissues, face and/or eyes.
9. Accidents during treatment or patient misuse of orthodontic appliances may result in injury to the oral tissues, face and/or eyes.
10. Oral surgery, orthognathic surgery or other adjunctive medical, surgical or dental procedures may be recommended and/or necessary in conjunction with orthodontic treatment. Associated treatments carry additional risks, limitations and additional informed consent issues which must be discussed with the patient/parents/legal guardian by the health care practitioner providing the service.
11. Orthodontic appliances may cause attrition, flaking or fracturing of tooth structure.
12. When orthodontic appliances are removed, fracture and/or damage to the teeth may result.
13. Medical or psychosocial conditions may result in compromised results or dissatisfaction with treatment.
14. Orthodontic materials may cause allergic reactions in some individuals.
15. Patients may be dissatisfied with their dental or facial esthetics at the conclusion of treatment due to unrealistic expectations or perceptions.
16. Abnormal growth during or after treatment may produce undesirable results.
17. Treatment time may be extended and results compromised due to unforeseen circumstances and poor patient cooperation.
18. Tooth movement during orthodontics may be adversely affected for patients receiving certain pharmaceuticals as they have the potential to slow tooth movement and may lengthen treatment time. The effects of these medications may be severe enough to stop tooth movement which may result in removal of appliances regardless of tooth positions. The effects of certain pharmaceuticals on an individual are not predictable.
19. The use of orally applied drugs, especially certain drugs of abuse such as cocaine or amphetamines, may seriously compromise the gums and bone tissue around teeth which can be exacerbated by orthodontic treatment.

## **Orthodontic Treatment**

Orthodontic treatment is a complex, professionally guided process which alters the structure of the dentofacial complex. Regardless of the specific intervention, treatment design process (CAD/CAM or direct) or mechanotherapy, orthodontic treatment begins and ends. Between these two time points lies the bulk of orthodontic therapy. It is critical that the orthodontist supervise the applied therapy using appropriate means consistent with orthodontic educational standards, ethical guidelines and legal requirements.

Due to the protracted nature of orthodontic therapy and since each patient will respond to treatment in a unique manner, orthodontic treatment requires supervision, dynamic reassessment, and case management to achieve the treatment goal.

### *Orthodontic Supervision*

Supervision can be defined as monitoring the treatment progress and guiding the patient with knowledgeable advice. Some aspects of supervision may be delegated to auxiliary personnel depending on applicable laws. Certain aspects of treatment require face-to-face, in-office interaction to appropriately apply the necessary intervention.

1 *Dynamic Reassessment*

2  
3 Dynamic reassessment occurs when the orthodontist monitoring treatment initiates a modification  
4 in the protocol based on treatment progress. Therapeutic staging is an intrinsic part of orthodontic  
5 treatment, but unforeseen or unanticipated provisional outcomes also require clinical judgement  
6 and experienced remediation. All of this is part of anticipated dynamic reassessment where the  
7 orthodontist evaluates progress and applies essential modifications to achieve the end goal.

8  
9 Examples include, but are not limited to:

- 10  
11 Staging from wire to wire;  
12 Staging from aligner to aligner;  
13 Adding or discontinuing elastics;  
14 Adding or discontinuing adjunctive appliances;  
15 Interproximal reduction;  
16 Adding or removing auxiliary devices;  
17 Repositioning brackets;  
18 Aligner refinement;  
19 Initiating supplemental therapies (surgery, cosmetic bonding, radiographic evaluations).

20  
21 Dynamic reassessment is fundamental to all forms of orthodontic treatment and typically requires  
22 direct professional intervention.

23  
24 *Case Management*

25  
26 During the course of orthodontic treatment, patients may experience unexpected situations which  
27 impact the patient's treatment progress. The patient may or may not be aware of the issue. Case  
28 management requires that the orthodontist identify the specific issue(s), address the situation and  
29 guides the patient's progress toward the final treatment goal.

30  
31 **Post Treatment Evaluation and Outcomes Assessment**

32  
33 The effects of orthodontic treatment should be evaluated retrospectively with reference to the  
34 pretreatment condition. Consistent re-evaluation of treatment results along with continued review  
35 of treatment modalities and their effectiveness will serve to provide the public with the highest  
36 quality of orthodontic care. Assessments of the outcome of treatment are dependent in part upon  
37 the treatment goals and objectives, the condition being treated, the stage of the patient's  
38 dentofacial development, the treatment provided and the patient's compliance as well as tissue  
39 response to the therapy performed. Limiting factors must be considered when evaluating  
40 treatment and outcomes.

41  
42 *Post Treatment Records*

43  
44 Post treatment unaltered records provide information for the quantitative and qualitative  
45 assessment of treatment changes as well as for education, research, and quality assurance. Post  
46 treatment records may include, but are not limited to:

- 47 1. Extra and intraoral images (digital, still or video images)
- 48 2. Dental casts (hard copy or digital format)
- 49 3. Radiographic imaging (intraoral radiographs, panoramic radiographs,  
50 cephalometrics, CBCT, etc.) to permit relative evaluation of the size, shape, and

1 positions of the relevant hard and soft tissue craniofacial structures including the  
2 dentition.

- 3 4. Other indicated procedures or tests  
4

5 *Positive Outcomes of Treatment*  
6

- 7 1. Satisfaction of the patient's chief complaint  
8 2. Well aligned teeth  
9 3. Good or improved occlusal function  
10 4. Good or improved dental and facial esthetics  
11 5. Good or improved environment for dentofacial development  
12 6. Desirable modification of the size, shape, and position of the jaw(s)  
13 7. Stability of the treatment results  
14 8. Good or improved dental and periodontal health  
15

16 *Negative Outcomes of Treatment*  
17

- 18 1. The patient's chief complaint was not satisfied  
19 2. Poorly aligned teeth  
20 3. Poor or unimproved occlusal function  
21 4. Poor or unimproved dental and facial esthetics  
22 5. Premature root resorption (primary teeth)  
23 6. Excessive root resorption (permanent teeth)  
24 7. Loss of periodontal support  
25 8. Clinically significant decalcification or dental caries  
26 9. Unsatisfactory modification of the size, shape, and position of the jaws  
27 10. Instability of the treatment results  
28

29 **Retention**  
30

- 31 1. A retention plan must be established after reviewing the patient's original  
32 condition, treatment objectives, the results achieved, and/or any limiting factors.  
33 2. Completion of orthodontic treatment does not ensure the stability of the result.  
34 Future treatment may be recommended when post treatment changes occur, which  
35 may be due to growth, maturation, aging, lack of compliance with the retention  
36 protocol, periodontal problems, oral habits and post treatment trauma, among other  
37 factors.  
38 3. Post treatment changes may be minimized with an indefinite retention wear  
39 protocol.  
40

41 **Record Keeping**  
42

43 The keeping and preserving of a patient's dental record is necessary to the goal of providing high  
44 quality orthodontic treatment. Prudent record keeping is the foundation for planning and  
45 maintaining the continuity of patient care. It also provides documentary evidence of the evaluation  
46 and diagnosis of the patient's condition, the treatment plan, informed consent, the treatment  
47 provided, referrals made, and follow up care. It also documents communications with the patient,  
48 other health care providers and any other third parties. The dental record also protects the legal  
49 interests of all parties. In addition, a patient's dental record may provide material for continuing  
50 education, research, administrative oversight, billing, and quality assurance.  
51

1. Treatment procedures, changes in the treatment plan, patient compliance, treatment difficulties, and other important aspects of treatment must be recorded and maintained. Copies of related correspondence, informed consent and appropriate release forms must also be maintained as part of the patient's record.
2. Documentation must be written, dictated, or computer annotated; maintained concurrently; and kept chronologically with any changes conspicuously noted.
3. The original records are usually considered the property of the practitioner. Laws regarding patient record access, duplication and transfer vary from state to state. Practitioners can obtain clarification from their state regulatory agency.
4. Electronic/digital records have the potential to be altered. Alteration of original electronic/digital records must be avoided. Credible computer software either prevents this or records any alteration of an original electronic/digital record. However, enhancement of images is allowed as long as these are duly labeled and saved as separate images. Enhancement of other electronic/digital records, such as radiographs, to enable better identification of landmarks and/or dentoskeletal anomalies is permissible; however, the original cannot be altered. It is the responsibility of the practitioner to protect the sanctity of all patient records as prescribed by all local, state and federal laws.

## **Transfer of Orthodontic Patients**

Because of the time required to complete orthodontic treatment, the transfer of care from one practitioner to another is a common occurrence.

### *Recommendations to the Transferring Practitioner*

1. Practitioners should attempt to arrange for the continuation of orthodontic treatment of their patients with as little interruption as possible. Regardless of the reason for transfer, reasonable efforts of both the transferring and accepting practitioner are necessary to effect an orderly transfer. It is recommended, and in some states required, to obtain a written release from the patient/parents/legal guardian prior to the transfer of the patient's records. It is preferable to send copies of the pertinent records directly to the new practitioner. The use of electronic media may facilitate this process. It is acceptable, but less desirable, to provide these records to the patient/ parents/legal guardian. A patient's records should not be withheld due to an outstanding balance.
2. The transferring practitioner should ensure that all appliances are in good order. The patient/parents/legal guardian should be advised that extended periods of active orthodontic treatment without supervision can be detrimental, and an appointment with the new practitioner should be scheduled as soon as possible.
3. The patient/parents/legal guardian should be informed that there may be different approaches to treatment by different practitioners.
4. The patient/parents/legal guardian should be informed that there may be different fees with treatment by different practitioners.
5. The transferring practitioner should make no statements that would undermine the establishment of a sound doctor-patient relationship with the accepting practitioner.
6. The transferring practitioner should be available for consultation by the accepting practitioner.
7. The transferring practitioner should provide appropriate financial information in advance or immediately upon request to the accepting practitioner.

1 *Recommendations to the Accepting Practitioner*

- 2
- 3 1. The accepting practitioner should review the patient's records, including the
- 4 previous financial arrangements if available, prior to the development of a plan for
- 5 continuation of treatment. In addition, the estimated time required to complete
- 6 treatment and the financial arrangement for continuation of treatment should be
- 7 discussed as soon as possible. Patients should be informed about their present oral
- 8 health status without unprofessional comments about prior treatment.
- 9 2. Appropriate records documenting the status of the case at the time of transfer
- 10 should be made.
- 11 3. A practitioner is not obligated to accept an orthodontic transfer patient. If a
- 12 practitioner is unable or unwilling to accept the transfer patient, the practitioner may
- 13 assist the patient/parents/legal guardian in finding another practitioner.
- 14 4. At the patient/parents/legal guardian's request, a practitioner may remove
- 15 appliances from a patient not of record. If appropriate, previous practitioners
- 16 should be consulted.
- 17

18 Members should be aware of the following documents written by the AAO Legal Counsel:

- 19
- 20 1. [Second Opinions](#)
- 21 2. [Terminating the Doctor/Patient Relationship](#)
- 22 3. [Patient Records and Record Keeping](#)
- 23

1 **Appendix A**

2  
3 **Historical Development**

4  
5 At its November 1993 meeting, the AAO Board of Trustees directed the AAO Council on  
6 Orthodontic Health Care (COHC) to study the feasibility of developing clinical practice guidelines  
7 for orthodontics. The council met in January 1994 and proposed a business plan for the  
8 development of Guidelines, which was considered at the February 1994 meeting of the AAO  
9 Board of Trustees. It was the consensus of the AAO Board of Trustees to develop guidelines  
10 utilizing the expertise within the AAO. A task force was appointed.

11  
12 The task force met three times between July 1994 and January 1995 and wrote draft guidelines. A  
13 copy of draft guidelines was sent to all active AAO members in April 1995 for review. Open forums  
14 were held at the 1995 AAO Annual Session and at the meetings of all eight AAO constituent  
15 societies during August-November 1995. The task force met again in December 1995 to revise the  
16 draft guidelines based on feedback received in 1995. The December 1995 revised draft guidelines  
17 were widely circulated in January 1996 for comment. The task force reviewed the comments and a  
18 revised draft of the guidelines was distributed to the AAO House of Delegates members, the Board  
19 of Trustees and other leaders of organized orthodontics in April 1996. An open forum was held at  
20 the 1996 AAO Annual Session for comments on the revised draft guidelines. The revised draft  
21 guidelines were approved by the Board of Trustees, a House of Delegates Reference Committee  
22 and by the House of Delegates. The Clinical Practice Guidelines were printed in 1996 and were  
23 made available to AAO members.

24  
25 **Updating of Clinical Practice Guidelines**

26  
27 The American Association of Orthodontists considers its Clinical Practice Guidelines to be a living  
28 document. The existence of this document is intended to stimulate improvement in the practice of  
29 orthodontics by identifying areas where knowledge is incomplete or inadequate. The AAO  
30 recognizes the dynamic nature of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics and the necessity for  
31 updating the guidelines to reflect the evolving science and art of orthodontics. Revisions to the  
32 document, with opportunities for AAO member input, will occur periodically.



1 **Appendix B**

2  
3 **Selected References**

4  
5 **Introduction**

6  
7 American Association of Orthodontists. Bylaws of the American Association of Orthodontists. St.  
8 Louis: American Association of Orthodontists; amended through 2018.

9  
10 American Association of Orthodontists. Principles of ethics, code of professional conduct and  
11 advisory opinions of the American Association of Orthodontists. St. Louis: American Association of  
12 Orthodontists; 2017, amended through 2018.

13  
14 American Association of Orthodontists. Glossary of dentofacial orthopedic terms. St. Louis:  
15 American Association of Orthodontists; 2017.

16  
17 American Dental Association. Council on Ethics, Bylaws and Judicial Affairs. Principles of ethics  
18 and code of professional conduct with official advisory opinions revised to September 2018.

19 Chicago: American Dental Association; 2018. Available at:

20 [https://www.ada.org/~media/ADA/Member%20Center/Ethics/ADA%20Code%20Of%20Ethics%20](https://www.ada.org/~media/ADA/Member%20Center/Ethics/ADA%20Code%20Of%20Ethics%20Book%20With%20Revised%20Advisory%20Opinions%20to%20September%202018.pdf?la=en)  
21 [Book%20With%20Revised%20Advisory%20Opinions%20to%20September%202018.pdf?la=en](https://www.ada.org/~media/ADA/Member%20Center/Ethics/ADA%20Code%20Of%20Ethics%20Book%20With%20Revised%20Advisory%20Opinions%20to%20September%202018.pdf?la=en).

22 Accessed October 30, 2018.

23  
24 American Dental Association. Commission on Dental Accreditation. Accreditation Standards for  
25 Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. Chicago:  
26 American Dental Association; 2017. Available at:

27 <https://www.ada.org/~media/CODA/Files/ortho.pdf?la=en>. Accessed October 30, 2018.

28  
29 Daskalogiannakis, J. Glossary of orthodontic terms. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co., Inc. ;  
30 2000.

31  
32 **Evidence-Based Dentistry**

33  
34 American Association of Orthodontists. Council on Scientific Affairs. Evidence Based Orthodontic  
35 Research Resource Center. Available at:

36 <https://www.aaoinfo.org/library-research/resource-centers/evidence-based-orthodontic-research>.

37 Accessed October 30, 2018.

38  
39 American Dental Association. Center for Evidence-Based Dentistry. Available at:

40 <http://ebd.ada.org>. Accessed October 30, 2018.

41  
42 The Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Available at:

43 <http://www.cochrane.org>. Accessed October 30, 2018.

44  
45 Howick J, Chalmers I, Glasziou P, Greenhalgh T, Heneghan C, Liberati A, Moschetti I, Phillips B,  
46 Thornton H. The 2011 Oxford CEBM evidence levels of evidence (introductory document). Oxford  
47 Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. Available at:

48 <http://www.cebm.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/CEBM-Levels-of-Evidence-Introduction-2.1.pdf>.

49 Accessed October 30, 2018.

50

1 Howick J, Chalmers I, Glasziou P, Greenhalgh T, Heneghan C, Liberati A, Moschetti I, Phillips B,  
2 Thornton H, Goddard O, Hodgkinson M. The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence. Oxford Centre for  
3 Evidence-Based Medicine. Available at:  
4 <http://www.cebm.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/CEBM-Levels-of-Evidence-2.1.pdf>. Accessed  
5 October 30, 2018.

6  
7 Huang GJ, Richmond S, Vig KWL. Evidence-based orthodontics. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley;  
8 2018.

9  
10 US National Library of Medicine. National Institutes of Health. PUBMED. Available at:  
11 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>. Accessed October 30, 2018.

### 12 **Pretreatment Considerations**

13  
14  
15 Ackerman JL, Proffit WR. The characteristics of malocclusion: a modern approach to classification  
16 and diagnosis. American Journal of Orthodontics 1969;56:443-54.

17  
18 Albino JE. Psychosocial aspects of malocclusion. In: Matarazzo JT, Miller NE, Weiss SM, Herd  
19 JA, Weiss SM. Behavior health: a handbook of health enhancement and disease prevention. New  
20 York: Wiley; 1984. p. 918-29.

21  
22 American Board of Orthodontics. Case Record Preparation. Available at:  
23 [https://www.americanboardortho.com/orthodontic-professionals/about-board-certification/clinical-](https://www.americanboardortho.com/orthodontic-professionals/about-board-certification/clinical-examination/clinical-examination-options/case-based-clinical-examination-ice/case-record-preparation)  
24 [examination/clinical-examination-options/case-based-clinical-examination-ice/case-record-](https://www.americanboardortho.com/orthodontic-professionals/about-board-certification/clinical-examination/clinical-examination-options/case-based-clinical-examination-ice/case-record-preparation)  
25 [preparation](https://www.americanboardortho.com/orthodontic-professionals/about-board-certification/clinical-examination/clinical-examination-options/case-based-clinical-examination-ice/case-record-preparation). Accessed October 30, 2018.

26  
27 American Dental Association. Council on Scientific Affairs. U.S. Department of Health and Human  
28 Services. Food and Drug Administration. Dental radiographic examinations: recommendations for  
29 patient selection and limiting radiation exposure. Chicago: American Dental Association; 2012.

30  
31 Assaf AT, Zrnc TA, Remus CC, Schönfeld M, Habermann CR, Riecke B, Friedrich RE, Fiehler J,  
32 Heiland M, Sedlacik J. Evaluation of four different optimized magnetic-resonance-imaging  
33 sequences for visualization of dental and maxillo-mandibular structures at 3 T. Journal of Cranio  
34 Maxillofacial Surgery 2014;42:1356-63.

35  
36 Ballrick JW, Fields HW, Beck M, Sun Z, Germak J. The cervical vertebrae staging method's  
37 reliability in detecting pre and post mandibular growth. Orthodontic Waves 2013;72:105-11.

38  
39 Bjerklin K, Ericson S. How a computerized tomography examination changed the treatment plans  
40 of 80 children with retained and ectopically positioned maxillary canines. Angle Orthodontist  
41 2006;76:43-51.

42  
43 Burstone CJ. Application of bioengineering to clinical orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL,  
44 Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier;  
45 2017. p. 154-86.

46  
47 Cartsos VM, Zhu S, Zavras AI. Bisphosphonate use and the risk of adverse jaw outcomes: a  
48 medical claims study of 714,217 people. Journal of the American Dental Association 2008;139:23-  
49 30.

1 Dale JG, Dale HC. Interceptive guidance of occlusion, with emphasis on diagnosis. In: Graber LW,  
2 Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St.  
3 Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 977.e1.  
4

5 Devereux L, Moles D, Cunningham SJ, McKnight M. How important are lateral cephalometric  
6 radiographs in orthodontic treatment planning? American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
7 Orthopedics 2011;139:e175-81.  
8

9 Eichenberger M, Baumgartner S. The impact of rapid palatal expansion on children's general  
10 health: a literature review. European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry 2014;15:67-71.  
11

12 Eley KA, Watt-Smith SR, Golding SJ. "Black bone" MRI: a potential alternative to CT when  
13 imaging the head and neck: report of eight clinical cases and review of the Oxford experience.  
14 British Journal of Radiology 2012;85:1457-64.  
15

16 Eley KA, Watt-Smith SR, Golding SJ. "Black Bone" MRI: a potential non-ionizing method for three-  
17 dimensional cephalometric analysis – a preliminary feasibility study. Dento maxillo facial Radiology  
18 2013;42:20130236.  
19

20 Ellis PE, Benson PE. Does articulating study casts make a difference to treatment planning?  
21 Journal of Orthodontics 2003;30:45-9.  
22

23 European Commission, Nuclear Energy Directorate, Radiation Protection. Cone beam CT for  
24 dental and maxillofacial radiology : evidence-based guidelines (Radiation protection ; no 172).  
25 Luxembourg : Directorate-General for Energy, 2012. Available at  
26 [http://www.sedentexct.eu/files/radiation\\_protection\\_172.pdf](http://www.sedentexct.eu/files/radiation_protection_172.pdf). Accessed October 30, 2018.  
27

28 Fleming PS, Marinho V, Johal A. Orthodontic measurements on digital study models compared  
29 with plaster models: a systematic review. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research 2011;14:1-16.  
30

31 Flores-Mir C, Korayem M, Heo G, Witmans M, Major MP, Major PW. Craniofacial morphological  
32 characteristics in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a systematic review and meta-  
33 analysis. Journal of the American Dental Association 2013;144:269-77.  
34

35 Gabriel DB, Southard KA, Qian F, Marshall SD, Franciscus RG, Southard TE. Cervical vertebrae  
36 maturation method: poor reproducibility. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
37 Orthopedics 2009;136:478. e1-7; discussion 478-80.  
38

39 Halazonetis DJ. Cone-beam computed tomography is not the imaging technique of choice for  
40 comprehensive orthodontic assessment. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
41 Orthopedics 2012;141:403, 405, 407.  
42

43 Haney E, Gansky SA, Lee JS, Johnson E, Maki K, Miller AJ, Huang JC. Comparative analysis of  
44 traditional radiographs and cone-beam computed tomography volumetric images in the diagnosis  
45 and treatment planning of maxillary impacted canines. American Journal of Orthodontics and  
46 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2010;137:590-7.  
47

48 Hartsfield JK. Personalized orthodontics: limitations and possibilities in orthodontic practice. In:  
49 Krishnan V, Davidovitch Z. Biological mechanisms of tooth movement. 2nd ed. Chichester, West  
50 Sussex ; John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2015. p. 164-172.  
51

1 Hou HM, Hägg U, Sam K, Rabie AB, Wong RW, Lam B, Ip, MS. Dentofacial characteristics of  
2 Chinese obstructive sleep apnea patients in relation to obesity and severity. *Angle Orthodontist*  
3 2006;76:962-9.  
4  
5 Isaac A, Major M, Witmans M, Alrajhi Y, Flores-Mir C, Major P, Alsufyani N, Korayem M, El-Hakim  
6 H. Correlations between acoustic rhinometry, subjective symptoms, and endoscopic findings in  
7 symptomatic children with nasal obstruction. *JAMA Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*  
8 2015;141:550-5.  
9  
10 Krieger E, Jacobs C, Walter C, Wehrbein H. Current state of orthodontic patients under  
11 bisphosphonate therapy. *Head and Face Medicine* 2013;9:10.  
12  
13 Larson BE. Cone-beam computed tomography is the imaging technique of choice for  
14 comprehensive orthodontic assessment. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
15 *Orthopedics* 2012;141:402, 404, 406.  
16  
17 Leifert MF, Leifert MM, Efstratiadis SS, Cangialosi TJ. Comparison of space analysis evaluations  
18 with digital models and plaster dental casts. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
19 *Orthopedics* 2009;136:16.e1-4, discussion 16.  
20  
21 Mortensen MG, Kiyak HA, Omnell L. Patient and parent understanding of informed consent in  
22 orthodontics. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2003;124:541-50.  
23  
24 Moyers RE, van der Linden FPGM, Riolo ML, McNamara JA. Standards of human occlusal  
25 development. Craniofacial growth series No. 5 Ann Arbor, MI: Center for Human Growth and  
26 Development, University of Michigan; 1976.  
27  
28 Neeley WW, Kluemper GT, Hays LR. Psychiatry in orthodontics. Part 1: Typical adolescent  
29 psychiatric disorders and their relevance to orthodontic practice. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
30 *and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2006;129:176-84.  
31  
32 Nestman TS, Marshall SD, Qian F, Holton N, Franciscus RG, Southard TE. Cervical vertebrae  
33 maturation method morphologic criteria: poor reproducibility. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
34 *and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2011;140:182-8.  
35  
36 Nguyen T, Proffit WR. The decision-making process in orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
37 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
38 Elsevier; 2017. p. 208-44.  
39  
40 Nijkamp PG, Habets LL, Aartman IH, Zentner A. The influence of cephalometrics on orthodontic  
41 treatment planning. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2008;30:630-5.  
42  
43 O'Reilly RL, O'Riordan JW, Greenwood AM. Orthodontic abnormalities in patients with eating  
44 disorders. *International Dental Journal* 1991;41:212-6.  
45  
46 Pae EK, McKenna GA, Sheehan TJ, Garcia R, Kuhlberg A, Nanda R. Role of lateral  
47 cephalograms in assessing severity and difficulty of orthodontic cases. *American Journal of*  
48 *Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2001;120:254-62.  
49  
50 Rheude B, Sadowsky PL, Ferriera A, Jacobson A. An evaluation of the use of digital study models  
51 in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. *Angle Orthodontist* 2005;75:300-4.

- 1 Riolo ML, Moyers RE, McNamara JA Jr, Hunter WS. An Atlas of craniofacial growth. Craniofacial  
2 growth series No. 2 Ann Arbor, MI: Center for Human Growth and Development, University of  
3 Michigan; 1974.  
4
- 5 Rischen RJ, Breuning KH, Bronkhorst EM, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. Records needed for orthodontic  
6 diagnosis and treatment planning: a systematic review. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e74186.  
7
- 8 Sadeghianrizi A, Forsberg CM, Marcus C, Dahllöf G. Craniofacial development in obese  
9 adolescents. European Journal of Orthodontics 2005;27:550-5.  
10
- 11 Silva MA, Wolf U, Heinicke F, Bumann A, Visser H, Hirsch E. Cone-beam computed tomography  
12 for routine orthodontic treatment planning: a radiation dose evaluation. American Journal of  
13 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2008;133:640.e1-5.  
14
- 15 Simmons KE. Electronic medical record and its implications for orthodontists. American Journal of  
16 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2011;139:567-8.  
17
- 18 Stevens DR, Flores-Mir C, Nebbe B, Raboud DW, Heo G, Major PW. Validity, reliability, and  
19 reproducibility of plaster vs digital study models: comparison of peer assessment rating and Bolton  
20 analysis and their constituent measurements. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
21 Orthopedics 2006;129:794-803.  
22
- 23 van der Linden FPGM, Duterloo, HS. Development of the human dentition an atlas. Hagerstown,  
24 MD: Harper & Row; 1976.  
25
- 26 van Vlijmen OJ, Kuijpers MA, Bergé SJ, Schols JG, Maal TJ, Breuning H, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM.  
27 Evidence supporting the use of cone-beam computed tomography in orthodontics. Journal of the  
28 American Dental Association 2012;143:241-52.  
29
- 30 Vanarsdall RL, Musich DR. Adult interdisciplinary therapy: diagnosis and treatment. In: Graber  
31 LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed.  
32 St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 569-620.  
33
- 34 Whetten JL, Williamson PC, Heo G, Varnhagen C, Major PW. Variations in orthodontic treatment  
35 planning decisions of Class II patients between virtual 3-dimensional models and traditional plaster  
36 study models. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2006;130:485-91.  
37
- 38 Will L. Psychological aspects of orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
39 Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 289-301.  
40

#### 41 **Diagnosis and Treatment Planning**

42

- 43 Andreasen JO, Paulsen HU, Yu Z, Ahlquist R, Bayer T, Schwartz O. A long-term study of 370  
44 autotransplanted premolars. Part I. Surgical procedures and standardized techniques for  
45 monitoring healing. European Journal of Orthodontics 1990;12:3-13.  
46
- 47 Andreasen JO, Paulsen HU, Yu Z, Bayer T, Schwartz O. A long-term study of 370  
48 autotransplanted premolars. Part II. Tooth survival and pulp healing subsequent to transplantation.  
49 European Journal of Orthodontics 1990;12:14-24.  
50

1 Andreasen JO, Paulsen HU, Yu Z, Schwartz O. A long-term study of 370 autotransplanted  
2 premolars. Part III. Periodontal healing subsequent to transplantation. *European Journal of*  
3 *Orthodontics* 1990;12:25-37.  
4  
5 Andreasen JO, Paulsen HU, Yu Z, Bayer T. A long-term study of 370 autotransplanted premolars.  
6 Part IV. Root development subsequent to transplantation. *European Journal of Orthodontics*  
7 1990;12:38-50.  
8  
9 Batista KB, Thiruvengkatachari B, Harrison JE, O'Brien KD. Orthodontic treatment for prominent  
10 upper front teeth (Class II malocclusion) in children. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic*  
11 *Reviews* 2018 Mar:CD003452.  
12  
13 Baumrind S, Korn EL, Isaacson RJ, West EE, Molthen R. Quantitative analysis of the orthodontic  
14 and orthopedic effects of maxillary traction. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1983;84:384-98.  
15  
16 Baumrind S, Korn EL, West EE. Prediction of mandibular rotation: an empirical test of clinician  
17 performance. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:371-85.  
18  
19 Baumrind S, Molthen R, West EE, Miller DM. Mandibular plane changes during maxillary  
20 retraction. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1978;74:32-40.  
21  
22 Baumrind S, Molthen R, West EE, Miller DM. Mandibular plane changes during maxillary  
23 retraction. Part 2. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1978;74:603-20.  
24  
25 Bedoya MM, Park JH. A review of the diagnosis and management of impacted maxillary canines.  
26 *Journal of the American Dental Association* 2009;140:1485-93.  
27  
28 Brennan MM, Gianelly AA. The use of the lingual arch in the mixed dentition to resolve incisor  
29 crowding. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2000;117:81-5.  
30  
31 Broadbent BH Sr, Broadbent BH Jr, Golden WH. Bolton standards of dentofacial developmental  
32 growth. St. Louis: Mosby; 1975.  
33  
34 Burstone CR. Deep overbite correction by intrusion. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1977;72:1-  
35 22.  
36  
37 Cevidanes L, Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA Jr, De Clerck H. Comparison of two protocols  
38 for maxillary protraction: bone anchors versus face mask with rapid maxillary expansion. *Angle*  
39 *Orthodontist* 2010;80:799-806.  
40  
41 Chang CA, Fields HW, Beck FM, Springer NC, Firestone AR, Rosenstiel S, Christensen JC. Smile  
42 esthetics from patients' perspective for faces of varying attractiveness. *American Journal of*  
43 *Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2011;140:e171-80.  
44  
45 Cordasco G, Matarese G, Rustico L, Fastuca S, Caprioglio A, Lindauer SJ, Nucera R. Efficacy of  
46 orthopedic treatment with protraction facemask on skeletal Class III malocclusion: a systematic  
47 review and meta-analysis. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research* 2014;17:133-43.  
48  
49 Czochrowska EM, Stenvik A, Bjercke B, Zachrisson BU. Outcome of tooth transplantation: survival  
50 and success rates 17-41 years post treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
51 *Orthopedics* 2002;121:110-9.

1 Dale JG, Dale HC. Interceptive guidance of occlusion, with emphasis on diagnosis. In: Graber LW,  
2 Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St.  
3 Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 997.e1.  
4

5 Downs WB. Variations in facial relationships; their significance in treatment and prognosis.  
6 American Journal of Orthodontics 1948;34:812-40.  
7

8 English JD, Tadlock L, Briss BS, Litschel K. Diagnosis of orthodontic problems. In: English JD,  
9 Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. Mosby's orthodontic review. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby,  
10 2015. p. 60-97.  
11

12 Fields HW, Proffit WR. Complex nonskeletal problems in preadolescent children: preventive and  
13 interceptive treatment. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. Contemporary  
14 orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2019. p. 402-429.  
15

16 Fields HW, Proffit WR. Growth modification in Class II open bite/deep bite and multidimensional  
17 problems. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed.  
18 Philadelphia: Elsevier 2019. p. 455-499.  
19

20 Foersch M, Jacobs C, Wriedt S, Hechtner M, Wehrbein H. Effectiveness of maxillary protraction  
21 using facemask with or without maxillary expansion: a systematic review and meta-analysis.  
22 Clinical Oral Investigations 2015;19:1181-92.  
23

24 Franchi L, Contardo L, Primožič J, Perinetti G. Clinical alteration of mandibular growth: what we  
25 know after 40 years. In: McNamara JA Jr, De Koster KY. The 40th Moyers Symposium: looking  
26 back...looking forward. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan; 2014. p. 263-285.  
27

28 Ge YS, Liu J, Chen L, Han JL, Guo X. Dentofacial effects of two facemask therapies for maxillary  
29 protraction. Angle Orthodontist 2012;82:1083-91.  
30

31 Graber TM. Functional appliances. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
32 Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 997.e50.  
33

34 Grec RH, Janson G, Branco NC, Moura-Grec PG, Patel MP, Castanha Henriques JF. Intraoral  
35 distalizer effects with conventional and skeletal anchorage: a meta-analysis. American Journal of  
36 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2013;143:602-15.  
37

38 Greenlee GM, Huang GJ, Chen SS, Chen J, Koepsell T, Hujoel P. Stability of treatment for  
39 anterior open-bite malocclusion: a meta-analysis. American Journal of Orthodontics and  
40 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2011;139:154-69.  
41

42 Gu Y. Factors contributing to stability of protraction facemask treatment of Class III malocclusion.  
43 Australian Orthodontic Journal 2010;26:171-7.  
44

45 Hartsfield JK, Morford LA. Genetics and orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL,  
46 Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 31-  
47 50.  
48

49 Harvold EP. The activator in interceptive orthodontics. St. Louis: CV Mosby; 1974.  
50

1 Haryett RD, Hansen FC, Davidson PO, Sandilands ML. Chronic thumb-sucking: the psychologic  
2 effects and the relative effectiveness of various methods of treatment. *American Journal of*  
3 *Orthodontics* 1967;53:569-85.  
4  
5 Husain J, Burden D, McSherry P, Morris D, Allen M, Clinical Standards Committee of the Faculty  
6 of Dental Surgery, Royal College of Surgeons of England. National clinical guidelines for  
7 management of the palatally ectopic maxillary canine. *British Dental Journal* 2012;213:171-6.  
8  
9 Huynh NT, Desplats E, Almeida FR. Orthodontics treatments for managing obstructive sleep  
10 apnea syndrome in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*  
11 2016; 25:84-94.  
12  
13 Jacobson A. The "Wits" appraisal of jaw disharmony. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
14 1975;67:125-38.  
15  
16 Janson G, Sathler R, Fernandes TM, Branco NC, Freitas MR. Correction of Class II malocclusion  
17 with Class II elastics: a systematic review. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
18 *Orthopedics* 2013;143:383-92.  
19  
20 Kennedy DB, Turley PK. The clinical management of ectopically erupting first permanent molars.  
21 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1987;92:336-45.  
22  
23 Ker AJ, Chan R, Fields HW, Beck M, Rosenstiel S. Esthetic and smile characteristics from the  
24 layperson's perspective: a computer-based survey study. *Journal of the American Dental*  
25 *Association* 2008;139:1318-27.  
26  
27 Kim YH, Vietas JJ. Anteroposterior dysplasia indicator: an adjunct to cephalometric differential  
28 diagnosis. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1978;73:619-33.  
29  
30 Kokich VO Jr, Kiyak HA, Shapiro PA. Comparing the perception of dentists and lay people to  
31 altered dental esthetics. *Journal of Esthetic Dentistry* 1999;11:311-24.  
32  
33 Martina R, Cioffi I, Farella M, Leone P, Manzo P, Matarese G, Portelli M, Nucera R, Cordasco G.  
34 Transverse changes determined by rapid and slow maxillary expansion--a low-dose CT-based  
35 randomized controlled trial. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research* 2012;15:159-68.  
36  
37 McNamara JA Jr. A method of cephalometric evaluation. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
38 1984;86:449-69.  
39  
40 McNamara JA, McClatchey LM, Graber LW. Optimizing orthodontic and dentofacial orthopedics:  
41 treatment timing and mixed dentition therapy. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
42 *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 403-36.  
43  
44 Millett DT, Cunningham SJ, O'Brien KD, Benson PE, de Oliveira CM. Orthodontic treatment for  
45 deep bite and retroclined upper front teeth in children. *Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews*  
46 2018 Feb:CD005972.  
47  
48 Nazarali N, Altalibi M, Nazarali S, Major MP, Flores-Mir C, Major PW. Mandibular advancement  
49 appliances for the treatment of paediatric obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review. *European*  
50 *Journal of Orthodontics* 2015;37:618-26.  
51



1 Nguyen T, Proffit WR. The decision making process in orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
2 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
3 Elsevier; 2017. p. 208-44.  
4

5 O'Brien K, Wright J, Conboy F, Sanjie Y, Mandall N, Chadwick S, Connolly I, Cook P, Birnie D,  
6 Hammond M, Harradine N, Lewis D, McDade C, Mitchell L, Murray A, O'Neill J, Read M, Robinson  
7 S, Roberts-Harry D, Sandler J, Shaw I. Effectiveness of early orthodontic treatment with the Twin-  
8 block appliance: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Part 1: Dental and skeletal effects.  
9 American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2003;124:234-43.  
10

11 Okeson JP. Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
12 Elsevier/Mosby, 2013.  
13

14 Opdebeeck H, Bell WH. The short face syndrome. American Journal of Orthodontics 1978;73:499-  
15 511.  
16

17 Perinetti G, Primožič J, Furlani G, Franchi L, Contardo L. Treatment effects of fixed functional  
18 appliances alone or in combination with multibracket appliances: a systematic review and meta-  
19 analysis. Angle Orthodontist 2015;85:480-92.  
20

21 Proffit WR, Fields HW. Treatment of skeletal transverse and Class III problems. In: Proffit WR,  
22 Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier  
23 2019. p. 430-454.  
24

25 Proffit WR, Fields HW Jr, Moray LJ. Prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in  
26 the United States: estimates from the NHANES III survey. International Journal of Adult  
27 Orthodontics and Orthognathic Surgery 1998;13:97-106.  
28

29 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE. Orthodontic treatment planning: from problem list to specific  
30 plan. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Sarver DM, Ackerman, JL. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE,  
31 Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2019. p. 208-246.  
32

33 Proffit WR, Sarver DM, Fields HW. Orthodontic diagnosis: the problem-oriented approach. In:  
34 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia:  
35 Elsevier 2019. p. 140-207.  
36

37 Proffit WR, Sarver DM. Special considerations in treatment for adults. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW,  
38 Larson BE, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2019. p. 599-  
39 656.  
40

41 Richardson ER. Racial differences in dimensional traits of the human face. Angle Orthodontist  
42 1980;50:301-11.  
43

44 Riedel RA. An analysis of dentofacial relationships. American Journal of Orthodontics  
45 1957;43:103-19.  
46

47 Saffer F, Lubianca Neto JF, Rösing C, Dias C, Closs L. Predictors of success in the treatment of  
48 obstructive sleep apnea syndrome with mandibular repositioning appliance: a systematic review.  
49 International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology 2015;19:80-5.  
50

1 Sagne S, Thilander B. Transalveolar transplantation of maxillary canines. A critical evaluation of a  
2 clinical procedure. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1997;55:1-8.  
3  
4 Sandler J, Murray A, Thiruvengkatachari B, Gutierrez R Speight P, O'Brien K. Effectiveness of 3  
5 methods of anchorage reinforcement for maximum anchorage in adolescents: a 3-arm multicenter  
6 randomized clinical trial. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
7 2014;146:10-20.  
8  
9 Sarver DM. Special considerations in diagnosis and treatment planning. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
10 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
11 Elsevier; 2017. p. 245-88.  
12  
13 Schendel SA, Eisenfeld J, Bell WH, Epker B, Mishelevich DJ. The long face syndrome: vertical  
14 maxillary excess. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1976;70:398-408.  
15  
16 Seehra J, Fleming PS, Mandall N, Dibiase AT. A comparison of two different techniques for early  
17 correction of Class III malocclusion. *Angle Orthodontist* 2012;82:96-101.  
18  
19 Shaw WC. The influence of children's dentofacial appearance on their social attractiveness as  
20 judged by peers and lay adults. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;79:399-415.  
21 Steiner CC. Cephalometries in clinical practice. *Angle Orthodontist* 1959;29:8-29.  
22  
23 Sugawara J, Mitani H. Facial growth of skeletal Class III malocclusion and the effects, limitations,  
24 and long-term dentofacial adaptations to chin-cap therapy. *Seminars in Orthodontics* 1997;3:244-  
25 54.  
26  
27 Tulloch JF, Proffit WR, Phillips C. Outcomes in a 2-phase randomized clinical trial of early Class II  
28 treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2004;125:657-67.  
29  
30 Ubaldo ED, Greenlee GM, Moore J, Sommers E, Bollen AM. Cephalometric analysis and long-  
31 term outcomes of orthognathic surgical treatment for obstructive sleep apnoea. *International*  
32 *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2015;44:752-9.  
33  
34 Vanarsdall RL, Musich DR. Adult interdisciplinary therapy: diagnosis and treatment. In: Graber  
35 LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed.  
36 St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 569-620.  
37  
38 Westwood PV, McNamara JA Jr, Baccetti T, Franchi L, Sarver DM. Long-term effects of Class III  
39 treatment with rapid maxillary expansion and facemask therapy followed by fixed appliances.  
40 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2003;123:306-20.  
41  
42 Wheeler TT, McGorray SP, Dolce C, Taylor MG, King GJ. Effectiveness of early treatment of  
43 Class II malocclusion. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2002;121:9-  
44 17.  
45  
46 Wieslander L. Intensive treatment of severe Class II malocclusions with headgear-Herbst  
47 appliance in the early mixed dentition. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:1-13.  
48  
49 Wieslander L, Lagerström L. The effect of activator treatment on class II malocclusions. *American*  
50 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1979;75:20-6.  
51

1 Will L. Psychological aspects of orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
2 Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 289-301.  
3

4 Zachrisson BU, Usumez S, Buyukyilmaz T. Bonding in orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
5 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
6 Elsevier; 2017. p. 812-67.  
7

8 Zhou Y, Long H, Ye N, Xue J, Yang X, Liao L, Lai W. The effectiveness of non-surgical maxillary  
9 expansion: a meta-analysis. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2014;36:233-42.  
10

11 **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Jaw Size, Relationship of Jaw**  
12 **to Cranial Base, Dental Arch Relationship and Dental Alveolus**  
13

14 Alexander RG, Sinclair PM, Goates LJ. Differential diagnosis and treatment planning for the adult  
15 nonsurgical orthodontic patient. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1986;89:95-112.  
16

17 Arvystas MG. Treatment of anterior skeletal open-bite deformity. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
18 1977;72:147-64.  
19

20 Bell WH, Jacobs JD, Legan HL. Treatment of Class II deep bite by orthodontic and surgical  
21 means. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;85:1-20.  
22

23 Burstone CR. Deep overbite correction by intrusion. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1977;72:1-  
24 22.  
25

26 Cangialosi TJ, Meistrell ME Jr, Leung MA, Ko JY. A cephalometric appraisal of edgewise Class II  
27 nonextraction treatment with extraoral force. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
28 *Orthopedics* 1988;93:315-24.  
29

30 Carlotti AE, George R. Differential diagnosis and treatment planning of the surgical orthodontic  
31 class III malocclusion. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;79:424-36.  
32

33 Chaconas SJ, de Alba y Levy JA. Orthopedic and orthodontic applications of the quad-helix  
34 appliance. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1977;72:422-8.  
35

36 Cozza P, Baccetti T, Franchi L, De Toffol L, McNamara JA Jr. Mandibular changes produced by  
37 functional appliances in Class II malocclusion: a systematic review. *American Journal of*  
38 *Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2006;129:599.e1-12; discussion e1-6.  
39

40 D'Antò V, Bucci R, Franchi L, Rongo R, Michelotti A, Martina R. Class II functional orthopaedic  
41 treatment: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2015;42:624-  
42 42.  
43

44 El-Dawlatly MM, Fayed MM, Mostafa YA. Deep overbite malocclusion: analysis of the underlying  
45 components. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2012;142:473-80.  
46

47 Epker BN, Fish L. Surgical-orthodontic correction of open-bite deformity. *American Journal of*  
48 *Orthodontics* 1977;71:278-99.  
49

50 Epker BN, Wolford LM, Fish LC. Mandibular deficiency syndrome II. Surgical considerations for  
51 mandibular advancement. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Oral Pathology* 1978;45:349-63.

1 Frankel R, Frankel C. A functional approach to treatment of skeletal open bite. *American Journal*  
2 *of Orthodontics* 1983;84:54-68.  
3  
4 Freeman CS, McNamara JA Jr, Baccetti T, Franchi L, Graff TW. Treatment effects of the bionator  
5 and high-pull facebow combination followed by fixed appliances in patients with increased vertical  
6 dimensions. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2007;131:184-95.  
7  
8 Gianelly AA, Arena SA, Bernstein L. A comparison of Class II treatment changes noted with the  
9 light wire, edgewise, and Frankel appliances. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:269-76.  
10  
11 Glassman AS, Nahigian SJ, Medway JM, Aronowitz HI. Conservative surgical orthodontic adult  
12 rapid palatal expansion: sixteen cases. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:207-13.  
13  
14 Glenn G, Sinclair PM, Alexander RG. Nonextraction orthodontic therapy: posttreatment dental and  
15 skeletal stability. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1987;92:321-8.  
16  
17 Graber LW. Chin cup therapy for mandibular prognathism. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
18 1977;72:23-41.  
19  
20 Holdaway RA. A soft-tissue cephalometric analysis and its use in orthodontic treatment planning.  
21 Part II. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;85:279-93.  
22  
23 Jacobson A. The “Wits” appraisal of jaw disharmony. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
24 1975;67:125-38.  
25  
26 Kokich VG. Adult orthodontics in the 21st century: guidelines for achieving successful results.  
27 *World Journal of Orthodontics* 2005;6 Suppl:14-23.  
28  
29 Liu S, Xu T, Zou W. Effects of rapid maxillary expansion on the midpalatal suture: a systematic  
30 review. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2015;37:651-5.  
31  
32 Magness WB. The mini-visualized treatment objective. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
33 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1987;91:361-74.  
34  
35 Marşan G. Effects of activator and high-pull headgear combination therapy: skeletal,  
36 dentoalveolar, and soft tissue profile changes. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2007;29:140-8.  
37  
38 Moyers RE, Bookstein, FL, Hunter, WS. Section II: Diagnosis. In: Moyers RE. *Handbook of*  
39 *orthodontics*. 4th ed. Chicago: Year Book Medical Publishers; 1988. p. 165-301.  
40  
41 McNamara JA Jr, Hugu SA. The Frankel appliance (FR2): model preparation and appliance  
42 construction. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;80:478-95.  
43  
44 McNamara JA Jr. An orthopedic approach to the treatment of Class III malocclusion in young  
45 patients. *Journal of Clinical Orthodontics* 1987;21:598-608.  
46  
47 McNamara JA, McClatchey LM, Graber LW. Optimizing orthodontic and dentofacial orthopedics:  
48 treatment timing and mixed dentition therapy. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
49 *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 403-36.  
50

1 Nahoum HI. Vertical proportions: a guide for prognosis and treatment in anterior open-bite.  
2 American Journal of Orthodontics 1977;72:128-46.  
3  
4 Nguyen T, Proffit WR. The decision making process in orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
5 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. Orthodontics: current principles and techniques. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
6 Elsevier; 2017. p. 208-44.  
7  
8 Pancherz H. A cephalometric analysis of skeletal and dental changes contributing to Class II  
9 correction in activator treatment. American Journal of Orthodontics 1984;85:125-34.  
10  
11 Pearson LE. Vertical control in fully-banded orthodontic treatment. Angle Orthodontist  
12 1986;56:205-24.  
13  
14 Pearson LE. Treatment of a severe openbite excessive vertical pattern with an eclectic non-  
15 surgical approach. Angle Orthodontist 1991;61:71-6.  
16  
17 Pfeiffer JP, Grobety D. A philosophy of combined orthopedic-orthodontic treatment. American  
18 Journal of Orthodontics 1982;81:185-201.  
19  
20 Poulton DR, Ware WH. Increase in mandibular and chin projection with orthognathic surgery.  
21 American Journal of Orthodontics 1985;87:363-76.  
22  
23 Proffit WR, White RP Jr. Combined surgical-orthodontic treatment: how did it evolve and what are  
24 the best practices now? American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics  
25 2015;147:S205-15.  
26  
27 Richardson ER. Racial differences in dimensional traits of the human face. Angle Orthodontist  
28 1980;50:301-11.  
29  
30 Sakamoto T. Effective timing for the application of orthopedic force in the skeletal class III  
31 malocclusion. American Journal of Orthodontics 1981;80:411-6.  
32  
33 Skieller V, Bjork A, Linde-Hansen T. Prediction of mandibular growth rotation evaluated from a  
34 longitudinal implant sample. American Journal of Orthodontics 1984;86:359-70.  
35  
36 Solano-Hernández B, Antonarakis GS, Scolozzi P, Kiliaridis S. Combined orthodontic and  
37 orthognathic surgical treatment for the correction of skeletal anterior open-bite malocclusion: a  
38 systematic review on vertical stability. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 2013;71:98-109.  
39  
40 Turpin DL. Befriend your oral and maxillofacial radiologist. American Journal of Orthodontics and  
41 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2007;131:697.  
42  
43 Wendell PD, Nanda R, Sakamoto T, Nakamura, S. The effects of chin cup therapy on the  
44 mandible: a longitudinal study. American Journal of Orthodontics 1985;87:265-74.  
45  
46 Wieslander L. Intensive treatment of severe Class II malocclusions with headgear-Herbst  
47 appliance in the early mixed dentition. American Journal of Orthodontics 1984;86:1-13.  
48  
49 Williams S, Andersen CE. The morphology of the potential Class III skeletal pattern in the growing  
50 child. American Journal of Orthodontics 1986;89:302-11.  
51

1 Zuccati G, Casci S, Doldo T, Clauser C. Expansion of maxillary arches with crossbite: a  
2 systematic review of RCTs in the last 12 years. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2013;35:29-37.  
3

4 **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Anomalies of Tooth Position, Discrepancies**  
5 **of Tooth Size, Arch Length and Arch Form**  
6

7 Bolton WA. The clinical application of a tooth-size analysis. *American Journal Orthodontics*  
8 1962;48:504-29.  
9

10 Clark JD, Williams JK. The management of spacing in the maxillary incisor region. *British Journal*  
11 *of Orthodontics* 1978;5:35-9.  
12

13 Dale JG, Dale HC. Interceptive guidance of occlusion, with emphasis on diagnosis. In: Graber LW,  
14 Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St.  
15 Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 997.e1.  
16

17 Dewel BF. Serial extraction in orthodontics: indications, objectives, and treatment procedures.  
18 *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1954;40:906-26.  
19

20 Feldmann I, Bondemark L. Orthodontic anchorage: a systematic review. *Angle Orthodontist*  
21 2006;76:493-501.  
22

23 Howe RP, McNamara JA Jr, O'Connor KA. An examination of dental crowding and its relationship  
24 to tooth size and arch dimension. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1983;83:363-73.  
25

26 Jerrold L, Lowenstein LJ. The midline: diagnosis and treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
27 *and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1990;97:453-62.  
28

29 Lopes Filho H, Maia LH, Lau TC, de Souza MM, Maia LC. Early vs late orthodontic treatment of  
30 tooth crowding by first premolar extraction: a systematic review. *Angle Orthodontist* 2015;85:510-  
31 7.  
32

33 Lundstrom A. The aetiology of crowding of the teeth (based on studies of twins and on  
34 morphological investigations) and its bearing on orthodontic treatment (expansion or extraction).  
35 *European Orthodontic Society Transactions* 1951;176-91.  
36

37 McKeown M. The diagnosis of incipient arch crowding in children. *New Zealand Dental Journal*  
38 1981;77:93-96.  
39

40 Mills LF. Arch width, arch length, and tooth size in young adult males. *Angle Orthodontist*  
41 1964;34:124-9.  
42

43 Mills LF. Epidemiologic studies of occlusion. IV. The prevalence of malocclusion in a population of  
44 1,455 school children. *Journal of Dental Research* 1966;45:332-6.  
45

46 Moorrees CF, Reed RB. Biometrics of crowding and spacing of the teeth in the mandible.  
47 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 1954;12:77-88.  
48

49 Moyers RE. Standards of human occlusal development. Craniofacial growth series No. 5 Ann  
50 Arbor, MI: Center for Human Growth and Development, University of Michigan; 1976.  
51

1 O'Shaughnessy KW, Koroluk LD, Phillips C, Kennedy DB. Efficiency of serial extraction and late  
2 premolar extraction cases treated with fixed appliances. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
3 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2011;139:510-6.  
4  
5 Peck H, Peck S. An index for assessing tooth shape deviations as applied to the mandibular  
6 incisors. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1972;61:384-401.  
7  
8 Poulton DR. The influence of extraoral traction. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1967;53:8-18.  
9  
10 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE. Orthodontic treatment planning: from problem list to specific  
11 plan. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Sarver DM, Ackerman, JL. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE,  
12 Sarver DM. *Contemporary orthodontics*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2019. p. 208-246.  
13  
14 Proffit WR, Sarver DM, Fields HW. Orthodontic diagnosis: the problem-oriented approach. In:  
15 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. *Contemporary orthodontics*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia:  
16 Elsevier 2019. p. 140-207.  
17  
18 Sheridan JJ. Air-rotor stripping update. *Journal of Clinical Orthodontics* 1987;21:781-8.  
19  
20 Terwilliger KF. Treatment in the mixed dentition. *Angle Orthodontist* 1950;20:109-13.  
21  
22 Vaden JL, Klontz HA, Dale JG. Standard Edgewise: Tweed-Merrifield philosophy, diagnosis,  
23 treatment planning and force systems. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ.  
24 *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 481-510.  
25  
26 **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Abnormalities of the Dentition (number, size**  
27 **and shape), Vitality, Eruption Pattern and Periodontal Support**  
28  
29 Andreasen JO, Paulsen HU, Yu Z, Bayer T, Schwartz O. A long-term study of 370  
30 autotransplanted premolars. Part II. Tooth survival and pulp healing subsequent to transplantation.  
31 *European Journal of Orthodontics* 1990;12:14-24.  
32  
33 Andrade DC, Loureiro CA, Araujo VE, Riera R, Atallah AN. Treatment for agenesis of maxillary  
34 lateral incisors: a systematic review. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research* 2013;16:129-36.  
35  
36 Becker A, Chaushu S, Casap-Caspi N. Cone-beam computed tomography and the orthosurgical  
37 management of impacted teeth. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 2010;141 Suppl  
38 3:14S-8S.  
39  
40 Brook AH, Jernvall J, Smith RN, Hughes TE, Townsend GC. The dentition: the outcomes of  
41 morphogenesis leading to variations of tooth number, size and shape. *Australian Dental Journal*  
42 2014;59 Suppl 1:131-42.  
43  
44 Carter K, Worthington S. Morphologic and demographic predictors of third molar agenesis: a  
45 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Dental Research* 2015;94:886-94.  
46  
47 Chaushu S, Kaczor-Urbanowicz K, Zadurska M, Becker A. Predisposing factors for severe incisor  
48 root resorption associated with impacted maxillary canines. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
49 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2015;147:52-60.  
50

1 Chaushu S, Becker T, Becker A. Impacted central incisors: factors affecting prognosis and  
2 treatment duration. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2015;147:355-  
3 62.  
4  
5 Dibase D. Mucous membrane and delayed eruption. *Transactions of the British Society for the*  
6 *Study of Orthodontics* 1969/70;56:149-58.  
7  
8 Ericson S, Kurol J. Early treatment of palatally erupting maxillary canines by extraction of the  
9 primary canines. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 1988;10:283-95.  
10  
11 Hua F, He H, Ngan P, Bouzid W. Prevalence of peg-shaped maxillary permanent lateral incisors: a  
12 meta-analysis. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2013;144:97-109.  
13  
14 Joondeph DR, McNeill RW. Congenitally absent second premolars: an interceptive approach.  
15 *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1971;59:50-66.  
16  
17 Kerekes-Máthé B, Brook AH, Mártha K, Székely M, Smith RN. Mild hypodontia is associated with  
18 smaller tooth dimensions and cusp numbers than in controls. *Archives of Oral Biology*  
19 2015;60:1442-9.  
20  
21 Kurol J, Thilander B. Infraocclusion of primary molars and the effect on occlusal development, a  
22 longitudinal study. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;6:277-93.  
23  
24 Luu NS, Mandich MA, Tieu LD, Kaipatur N, Flores-Mir C. The validity and reliability of mixed-  
25 dentition analysis methods: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Dental Association*  
26 2011;142:1143-53.  
27  
28 Mitchell L, Bennett TG. Supernumerary teeth causing delayed eruption-a retrospective study.  
29 *British Journal of Orthodontics* 1992;19:41-6.  
30  
31 Moorrees CFA. The dentition of the growing child; a longitudinal study of dental  
32 development between 3 and 18 years of age. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1959.  
33  
34 Naoumova J, Kurol J, Kjellberg H. A systematic review of the interceptive treatment of palatally  
35 displaced maxillary canines. *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2011;33:143-9.  
36  
37 Odeh R, Mihailidis S, Townsend G, Lähdesmäki R, Hughes T, Brook A. Prevalence of  
38 infraocclusion of primary molars determined using a new 2D image analysis methodology.  
39 *Australian Dental Journal* 2016 61:183-9.  
40  
41 Odeh R, Townsend G, Mihailidis S, Lähdesmäki R, Hughes T, Brook A. Infraocclusion: dental  
42 development and associated dental variations in singletons and twins. *Archives of Oral Biology*  
43 2015;60:1394-402.  
44  
45 Parkin N, Benson PE, Thind B, Shah A. Open versus closed surgical exposure of canine teeth that  
46 are displaced in the roof of the mouth. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017  
47 Aug:CD006966.  
48  
49 Paulsen HU, Andreasen JO, Schwartz O. Pulp and periodontal healing, root development and root  
50 resorption subsequent to transplantation and orthodontic rotation: a long term study of



1 autotransplanted premolars. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics  
2 1995;108:630-40.  
3  
4 Paulsson L, Bondemark L, Soderfeldt B. A systematic review of the consequences of premature  
5 birth on palatal morphology, dental occlusion, tooth-crown dimensions, and tooth maturity and  
6 eruption. Angle Orthodontist 2004;74:269-79.  
7  
8 Peck S, Peck L. Classification of maxillary tooth transpositions. American Journal of Orthodontics  
9 and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1995;107:505-17.  
10  
11 Poelmans S, Clijmans M, Fieuws S, Willems G. Cephalometric appraisal of post-treatment tooth  
12 eruption: a 20 year follow-up study. European Journal of Orthodontics 2015 Mar 28 [Epub ahead  
13 of print].  
14  
15 Pulver P. The etiology and prevalence of ectopic eruption of the maxillary first permanent molar.  
16 ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children 1968;35:138-46.  
17  
18 Rakhshan V, Rakhshan H. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the number of non-syndromic  
19 congenitally missing permanent teeth per affected individual and its influencing factors. European  
20 Journal of Orthodontics 2016;38:170-7.  
21  
22 Schatz JP, Joho JP. Indications of autotransplantation of teeth in orthodontic problem cases.  
23 American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1994;106:351-7.  
24  
25 Thilander B. Orthodontic space closure versus implant placement in subjects with missing teeth.  
26 Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 2008;35 Suppl 1:64-71.  
27  
28 **Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations for Dentofacial Functional Abnormalities**  
29  
30 American Association of Orthodontists. Glossary of dentofacial orthopedic terms. St. Louis:  
31 American Association of Orthodontists; 2017.  
32  
33 Andrianopoulos MV, Hanson ML. Tongue-thrust and the stability of overjet correction. Angle  
34 Orthodontist 1987;57:121-35.  
35  
36 Baumrind S, Korn EL, Isaacson RJ, West EE, Molthen R. Superimpositional assessment of  
37 treatment-associated changes in the temporomandibular joint and the mandibular symphysis.  
38 American Journal of Orthodontics 1983;84:443-65.  
39  
40 Behrents RG, White RA. TMJ research: responsibility and risk. American Journal of Orthodontics  
41 and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1992;101:1-3.  
42  
43 Burton MJ, Glasziou PP, Chong LY, Venekamp RP. Tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy versus  
44 non-surgical treatment for chronic/recurrent acute tonsillitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic  
45 Reviews 2014; Nov:CD001802.  
46  
47 Dibbets JM, van der Weele LT. The prevalence of joint noises as related to age and gender.  
48 Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders 1992;6:157-60.  
49

1 Fields HW, Warren DW, Black K, Phillips CL. Relationship between vertical dentofacial  
2 morphology and respiration in adolescents. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
3 *Orthopedics* 1991;99:147-54.  
4  
5 Graber TM. The “three M’s”: Muscles, malformation, and malocclusion. *American Journal*  
6 *Orthodontics* 1963;49:418-50.  
7  
8 Graber TM. Postmortems in posttreatment adjustment. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
9 1966;52:331-52.  
10  
11 Grummons D. *Orthodontics for the TMJ-TMD patient*. Scottsdale, Ariz.: Wright, & Co. Publishers;  
12 1994.  
13  
14 Harvold EP, Tomer BS, Vargervik K, Chierici G. Primate experiments on oral respiration. *American*  
15 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;79:359-72.  
16  
17 Haryett RD, Hansen FC, Davidson PO. Chronic thumb sucking. A second report on treatment and  
18 its psychological effects. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1970;57:164-78.  
19  
20 Ingervall B. Orthodontic treatment in adults with temporomandibular dysfunction symptoms.  
21 *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1978;73:551-9.  
22  
23 Jones AG, Bhatia S. A study of nasal respiratory resistance and craniofacial dimensions in white  
24 and West Indian black children. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
25 1994;106:34-9.  
26  
27 Katyal V, Pamula Y, Martin AJ, Daynes CN, Kennedy JD, Sampson WJ. Craniofacial and upper  
28 airway morphology in pediatric sleep-disordered breathing: systematic review and meta-analysis.  
29 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2013;143:20-30.  
30  
31 Kerr WJ, McWilliam JS, Linder-Aronson S. Mandibular form and position related to changed mode  
32 of breathing- a five-year longitudinal study. *Angle Orthodontist* 1989;59:91-6.  
33  
34 Larsson EF, Dahlin KG. The prevalence and the etiology of the initial dummy- and finger-sucking  
35 habit. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1985;87:432-5.  
36  
37 Linder-Aronson S. Adenoids. Their effect on mode of breathing and nasal airflow and their  
38 relationship to characteristics of the facial skeleton and the dentition. A biometric, rhino-manometric  
39 and cephalometro-radiographic study on children with and without adenoids. *Acta Oto-*  
40 *Laryngologica. Supplement (Oslo)* 1970;265:1-132.  
41  
42 Linder-Aronson S, Leighton BC. A longitudinal study of the development of the posterior  
43 nasopharyngeal wall between 3 and 16 years of age. *European Journal of Orthodontics*  
44 1983;5:47-58.  
45  
46 Linder-Aronson S, Woodside DG, Hellsing E, Emerson W. Normalization of incisor position after  
47 adenoidectomy. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1993;103:412-27.  
48  
49 Major MP, Saltaji H, El-Hakim H, Witmans M, Major P, Flores-Mir C. The accuracy of diagnostic  
50 tests for adenoid hypertrophy: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Dental Association*  
51 2014;145:247-54.

1 Mason RM. Orthodontic perspectives on orofacial myofunctional therapy. *International Journal of*  
2 *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 1988;14:49-55.  
3  
4 McNeill C. *Cranio-mandibular disorders: guidelines for evaluation, diagnosis, and management.*  
5 Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Company; 1990.  
6  
7 Nagaiwa M, Gunjigake K, Yamaguchi K. The effect of mouth breathing on chewing efficiency.  
8 *Angle Orthodontist* 2016;86:227-34.  
9  
10 Niinimaa V, Cole P, Mintz S, et al. Oronasal distribution of respiratory airflow. *Respiration*  
11 *Physiology* 1981;43:69-75.  
12  
13 Proffit WR. Lingual pressure patterns in the transition from tongue thrust to adult swallowing.  
14 *Archives of Oral Biology* 1972;17:555-63.  
15  
16 Roth RH. Functional occlusion for the orthodontist. Part III. *Journal of Clinical Orthodontics* 1981;  
17 15:174-9, 182-98.  
18  
19 Sadowsky S, BeGole EA. Long-term status of temporomandibular joint function and functional  
20 occlusion after orthodontic treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1980;78:201-12.  
21  
22 Stringert HG, Worms FW. Variations in skeletal and dental patterns in patients with structural and  
23 functional alterations of the temporomandibular joint: a preliminary report. *American Journal of*  
24 *Orthodontics* 1986; 89:285-97.  
25  
26 Subtelny JD. Oral habits - studies in form, function and therapy. *Angle Orthodontist* 1973;43:349-  
27 83.  
28  
29 Tamari K, Murakami T, Takahama Y. The dimensions of the tongue in relation to its motility.  
30 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1991;99:140-6.  
31  
32 te Veldhuis EC, te Veldhuis AH, Koudstaal MJ. Treatment management of children with juvenile  
33 idiopathic arthritis with temporomandibular joint involvement: a systematic review. *Oral Surgery,*  
34 *Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology* 2014;117:581-9.e2.  
35  
36 Valladares-Neto J, Cevidanes LH, Rocha WC, Almeida Gde A, Paiva JB, Rino-Neto J. TMJ  
37 response to mandibular advancement surgery: an overview of risk factors. *Journal of Applied Oral*  
38 *Science* 2014;22:2-14.  
39  
40 Vig KW. Orthodontic considerations applied to craniofacial dysmorphology. *Cleft Palate Journal*  
41 1990;27:141-5.  
42  
43 Vig PS, Sarver DM, Hall DJ, Warren DW. Quantitative evaluation of nasal airflow in relation to  
44 facial morphology. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;79:263-72.  
45  
46 Vig PS, Showfety KJ, Phillips C. Experimental manipulation of head posture. *American Journal of*  
47 *Orthodontics* 1980;77:258-68.  
48  
49 Wang XD, Zhang JN, Gan YH, Zhou YH. Current understanding of pathogenesis and treatment of  
50 TMJ osteoarthritis. *Journal of Dental Research* 2015;94:666-73.  
51

1 Watson RM Jr, Warren DW, Fischer ND. Nasal resistance, skeletal classification and mouth  
2 breathing in orthodontic patients. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1968;54:367-79.

3  
4 Williamson EH. Temporomandibular dysfunction in pretreatment adolescent patients. *American*  
5 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1977;72:429-33.

### 6 **Orthodontic Considerations for Craniofacial Anomalies, Cleft Lip and Palate**

7  
8  
9 American Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Association. Parameters for evaluation and treatment of  
10 patients with cleft lip/palate or other craniofacial differences. Available at:  
11 <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1055665617739564> Accessed October 31, 2018.

12  
13 Boyne PJ, Sands NR. Secondary bone grafting of residual alveolar and palatal clefts. *Journal of*  
14 *Oral Surgery* 1972;30:87-92.

15  
16 Bongaarts CA, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, van't Hof MA, Prah-Andersen B. The effect of infant  
17 orthopedics on the occlusion of the deciduous dentition in children with complete unilateral cleft lip  
18 and palate (Dutchcleft). *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal* 2004;41:633-41.

19  
20 Cooper HK. Integration of services in the treatment of cleft lip and palate. *Journal of the American*  
21 *Dental Association* 1953;47:27-32.

22  
23 Daskalogiannakis J, Mercado A, Russell K, Hathaway R, Dugas G, Long RE Jr, Cohen M, Semb  
24 G, Shaw W. The Americleft study: an inter-center study of treatment outcomes for patients with  
25 unilateral cleft lip and palate part 3. Analysis of craniofacial form. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*  
26 2011;48:252-8.

27  
28 Eskenazi LB, Schendel SA. An analysis of Le Fort I maxillary advancement in cleft lip and palate  
29 patients. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 1992;90:779-86.

30  
31 Gorlin RJ, Pindborg JJ. *Syndromes of the head and neck*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1990.

32  
33 Graber TM. Craniofacial morphology in cleft palate and cleft lip deformities. *Surgery, Gynecology*  
34 *and Obstetrics* 1949;88:359-69.

35  
36 Grayson BH, Santiago PE, Brecht LE, Cutting CB. Presurgical nasoalveolar molding in infants with  
37 cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal* 1999;36:486-98.

38  
39 Hathaway R, Daskalogiannakis J, Mercado A, Russell K, Long RE Jr, Cohen M, Semb G, Shaw  
40 W. The Americleft study: an inter-center study of treatment outcomes for patients with unilateral  
41 cleft lip and palate part 2. Dental arch relationships. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal* 2011;48:244-  
42 51.

43  
44 Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, Long RE Jr. State of the art - The influence of surgery and orthopedic  
45 treatment on maxillofacial growth and maxillary arch development in patients treated for orofacial  
46 clefts. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal* 2000;37:527e1-12.

47  
48 Liou EJ, Tsai WC. A new protocol for maxillary protraction in cleft patients: repetitive weekly  
49 protocol of alternate rapid maxillary expansions and constrictions. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*  
50 2005;42:121-7.

51

1 Long RE Jr, Semb G, Shaw WC. State of the art - Orthodontic treatment of the patient with  
2 complete clefts of the lip, alveolus and palate. Lessons of the past 60 years. Cleft Palate-  
3 Craniofacial Journal 2000;37:533e1-13.  
4  
5 Losee JE, Kirschner RE. Comprehensive cleft care. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL : CRC Press; 2016.  
6  
7 Mars M, Plint DA, Houston WJ, Bergland O, Semb G. The Goslon Yardstick: a new system of  
8 assessing dental arch relationships in children with unilateral clefts of the lip and palate. Cleft  
9 Palate Journal 1987;24:314-22.  
10  
11 Mercado AM, Vig KWL. Orthodontic principles in the management of orofacial clefts. In: Losee JE,  
12 Kirschner RE. Comprehensive cleft care. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL : CRC Press; 2016. p. 1253-88.  
13  
14 Mølsted K, Brattström V, Prah-Andersen B, Shaw WC, Semb G. The Eurocleft study: intercenter  
15 study of treatment outcome in patients with complete cleft lip and palate. Part 3: dental arch  
16 relationships. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal 2005;42:78-82.  
17  
18 Nollet PJ, Katsaros C, Van't Hof MA, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. Treatment outcome in unilateral cleft  
19 lip and palate evaluated with the GOSLON yardstick: a meta-analysis of 1236 patients. Plastic and  
20 Reconstructive Surgery 2005;116:1255-62.  
21  
22 Polley JW, Figueroa AA. Rigid external distraction: its application in cleft maxillary deformities.  
23 Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery 1998;102:1360-74.  
24  
25 Prah C, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, van't Hof MA, Prah-Andersen B. A randomized prospective clinical  
26 trial into the effect of infant orthopaedics on maxillary arch dimensions in unilateral cleft lip and  
27 palate. European Journal of Oral Sciences 2001;109:297-305.  
28  
29 Ross RB. Treatment variables affecting facial growth in complete unilateral cleft lip and palate.  
30 Cleft Palate Journal 1987;24:5-77.  
31  
32 Russell K, Long RE Jr, Hathaway R, Daskalogiannakis J, Mercado A, Cohen M, Semb G, Shaw  
33 W. The Americleft study: an inter-center study of treatment outcomes for patients with unilateral  
34 cleft lip and palate part 5. General discussion and conclusions. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal  
35 2011;48:265-70.  
36  
37 Semb G, Brattström V, Mølsted K, Prah-Andersen B, Shaw WC. The Eurocleft study: intercenter  
38 study of treatment outcome in patients with complete cleft lip and palate. Part 1: introduction and  
39 treatment experience. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal 2005;42:64-8.  
40  
41 Semb G, Brattström V, Mølsted K, Prah-Andersen B, Zuurbier P, Rumsey N, Shaw WC. The  
42 Eurocleft study: intercenter study of treatment outcome in patients with complete cleft lip and  
43 palate. Part 4: relationship among outcome, patient/parent satisfaction, and the burden of care.  
44 Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal 2005;42:83-92.  
45  
46 Shaw WC, Brattström V, Mølsted K, Prah-Andersen B, Roberts CT, Semb G. The Eurocleft study:  
47 intercenter study of treatment outcome in patients with complete cleft lip and palate. Part 5:  
48 discussion and conclusions. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal 2005;42:93-8.  
49  
50 Tindlund RS, Rygh P. Maxillary protraction: different effects on facial morphology in unilateral and  
51 bilateral cleft palate patients. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal 1993;30:208-21.

1 Van der Heijden, P, Dijkstra PU, Stellingsma C, van der Laan BF, Korsten-Meijer AG, Goorhuis-  
2 Brouwer SM. Limited evidence for the effect of presurgical nasoalveolar molding in unilateral cleft  
3 on nasal symmetry: a call for unified research. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 2013;131:62e-  
4 71e.

## 6 **Treatment Objectives and Limiting Factors**

8 American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. Clinical recommendations regarding use  
9 of cone beam computed tomography in orthodontics. Position statement by the American  
10 Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and*  
11 *Oral Radiology* 2013;116:238-57. Erratum in: *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and*  
12 *Oral Radiology* 2013;116:661.

14 Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA, Jr. Cephalometric variables predicting the long-term success  
15 or failure of combined rapid maxillary expansion and facial mask therapy. *American Journal of*  
16 *Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2004;126:16-22.

18 Baird JF, Kiyak HA. The uninformed orthodontic patient and parent: treatment outcomes.  
19 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2003;124:212–5.

21 Bayirli B, Riolo CS, Riolo ML. Treatment tactics for problems related to dentofacial discrepancies  
22 in three planes of space. In: English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby's orthodontic*  
23 *review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 137-44.

25 Bays RA, Bouloux GF. Complications of orthognathic surgery. *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*  
26 *Clinics of North America* 2003;15:229–42.

28 Berger JL, Pangrazio-Kulbersh V, George C, Kaczynski R. Long-term comparison of treatment  
29 outcome and stability of Class II patients treated with functional appliances versus bilateral sagittal  
30 split ramus osteotomy. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2005;  
31 127:451–64.

33 Bolton WA. Disharmony in tooth size and its relation to the analysis and treatment of malocclusion.  
34 *Angle Orthodontist* 1958;28:113-30.

36 Broder HL, Phillips C, Kaminetzky S. Issues in decision making: should I have orthognathic  
37 surgery? *Seminars in Orthodontics* 2000;6:249–258.

39 Broadbent BH Sr, Broadbent BH Jr, Golden WH. Bolton standards of dentofacial developmental  
40 growth. St. Louis: Mosby; 1975.

42 Carter L, Farman AG, Geist J, Scarfe WC, Angelopoulos C, Nair MK, Hildebolt CF, Tyndall D,  
43 ShROUT M; American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. American Academy of Oral and  
44 Maxillofacial Radiology executive opinion statement on performing and interpreting diagnostic  
45 cone beam computed tomography. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology,*  
46 *and Endodontics* 2008;106:561-2.

48 Chow LK, Singh B, Chiu WK, Samman N. Prevalence of postoperative complications after  
49 orthognathic surgery: a 15 year review. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2007;65:984-92.

1 Conley RS, Legan HL. Correction of severe obstructive sleep apnea with bimaxillary transverse  
2 distraction osteogenesis and maxillomandibular advancement. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
3 *and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2006;129:283–92.  
4  
5 Coscia G, Coscia V, Peluso V, Addabbo F. Augmented corticotomy combined with accelerated  
6 orthodontic forces in Class III orthognathic patients: morphologic aspects of the mandibular  
7 anterior ridge with cone-beam computer tomography. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*  
8 2013;71:1760.e1-9.  
9  
10 Gianelly AA, Arena SA, Bernstein L. A comparison of Class II treatment changes noted with the  
11 light wire, edgewise, and Frankel appliances. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:269-76.  
12  
13 Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques.*  
14 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017.  
15  
16 Giblin EB, Scarpa CM. When patients refuse treatment: is it negligence if the patient elects not to  
17 “follow doctor’s orders”? *AAOS Now* April 2012  
18  
19 Greco PM. Temporomandibular disorders. In: English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K.  
20 *Mosby’s orthodontic review.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 286-92.  
21  
22 Hoogeveen EJ, Jansma J, Ren, Y. Surgically facilitated orthodontic treatment: a systematic  
23 review. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2014;145:S51-4.  
24  
25 Kulbersh R, Pangrazio-Kulbersh V. Treatment of Class II malocclusions. In: English JD, Akyalcin  
26 S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby’s orthodontic review.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p.  
27 164-85.  
28  
29 Little RM, Riedel RA, Artun J. An evaluation of changes in mandibular anterior alignment from 10  
30 to 20 years postretention. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
31 1988;93:423-8.  
32  
33 Little RM. Stability and relapse of dental arch alignment. *British Journal of Orthodontics*  
34 1990;17:235-41.  
35  
36 Marshall SD, Southard KA, Southard TE. Phase II: nonsurgical adolescent and adult cases. In:  
37 English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby’s orthodontic review.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
38 Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 206-19.  
39  
40 McNamara L, McNamara JA Jr. Phase I: early treatment. In: English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T,  
41 Litschel, K. *Mosby’s orthodontic review.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 145-53.  
42  
43 Musich DR. Assessment and description of the treatment needs of adult patients evaluated for  
44 orthodontic therapy: characteristics of the solo provider group (I). *International Journal of Adult*  
45 *Orthodontics and Orthognathic Surgery* 1986;1:55-67.  
46  
47 Musich DR. Assessment and description of the treatment needs of adult patients evaluated for  
48 orthodontic therapy. II. Characteristics of the dual provider group. *International Journal of Adult*  
49 *Orthodontics and Orthognathic Surgery* 1986;1:101-17.  
50

1 Musich DR, Crossetti HW. Assessment and description of the treatment needs of adult patients for  
2 orthodontic therapy. III. Characteristics of the multiple provider group. *International Journal of*  
3 *Adult Orthodontics and Orthognathic Surgery* 1986;1:251-74.

4  
5 Nance HN. The limitations of orthodontic treatment: I. Mixed dentition diagnosis and treatment.  
6 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Oral Surgery* 1947;33:177-223.

7  
8 Nance HN. The limitations of orthodontic treatment: II. Diagnosis and treatment in the permanent  
9 dentition. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Oral Surgery* 1947;33:253-301.

10  
11 Ngan P. Class III correctors. In: English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby's*  
12 *orthodontic review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 186-97.

13  
14 Okeson JP. *Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion*. St. Louis:  
15 Elsevier/Mosby, 2013

16  
17 Pangrazio-Kulbersh V. Adult interdisciplinary orthodontic treatment. In: English JD, Akyalcin S,  
18 Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby's orthodontic review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p.  
19 220-34.

20  
21 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. *Contemporary orthodontics*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia:  
22 Elsevier 2019.

23  
24 Reid KI, Green CS. Diagnosis and treatment of temporomandibular disorders: an ethical analysis  
25 of current practices. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2013;40:546-61.

26  
27 Roblee RD, Bolding SL, Landers JM. Surgically facilitated orthodontic therapy: a new tool for  
28 optimal interdisciplinary results. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry* 2009;30:264–  
29 75.

30  
31 Sharpe W, Reed B, Subtelny JD, Polson A. Orthodontic relapse, apical root resorption, and crestal  
32 alveolar bone levels. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1987;91:252-  
33 8.

34  
35 Strang RHW. Conditions influencing the prognosis. In: Strang RHW. *A textbook of Orthodontia*.  
36 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1943. p. 233-5.

37  
38 Tirk TM. Limitations in orthodontic treatment. *Angle Orthodontist* 1965;35:165-77.

39  
40 Vaden JL, Trojan TM. Treatment planning. In: English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K.  
41 *Mosby's orthodontic review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p. 120-36.

42  
43 van der Linden FPGM. Over de achtergronden van success en mislukking bij de behandeling van  
44 angle klasse II/I-afwijkingen [Success and Failures after Treatment of Angle Class II/I Anomalies].  
45 *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Tandheelkunde* 1964;71:505-20. [Dutch]

46  
47 van der Linden FPGM. *Possibilities and Limitations of Orthodontic Appliances*. Studieweek, 1965.

#### 48 **Treatment Consultation and Informed Consent**

49  
50



1 Arnett GW, Gunson MJ. Esthetic treatment planning for orthognathic surgery. *Journal of Clinical*  
2 *Orthodontics* 2010;44:196-200.  
3

4 Artun J. Caries and periodontal reactions associated with long-term use of different types of  
5 bonded lingual retainers. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1984;86:112-8.  
6

7 Behrents RG. A treatise on the continuum of growth in the aging craniofacial skeleton: a  
8 longitudinal assessment of the Bolton-Brush study participants recalled in the 1980's. Ann Arbor:  
9 University of Michigan; 1984.  
10

11 Copeland S, Green LJ. Root resorption in maxillary central incisors following active orthodontic  
12 treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1986;89:51-5.  
13

14 Dalessandri D, Salgarello S, Dalessandri M, Lazzaroni E, Piancino M, Paganelli C, Maiorana C,  
15 Santoro F. Determinants for success rates of temporary anchorage devices in orthodontics: a  
16 meta-analysis (N>50). *European Journal of Orthodontics* 2014;36:303-13.  
17

18 Davidson WM, Sheinis EM, Shepherd SR. Tissue reaction to orthodontic adhesives. *American*  
19 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1982;82:502-7.  
20

21 English JD, Akyalcin S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby's orthodontic review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
22 Elsevier Mosby, 2015.  
23

24 Geiger AM. Mucogingival problems and the movement of mandibular incisors: a clinical  
25 review. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1980;78:511-27.  
26

27 Gorelick L, Geiger AM, Gwinnett AJ. Incidence of white spot formation after bonding and banding.  
28 *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1982;81:93-8.  
29

30 Graber LW, Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*.  
31 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017.  
32

33 Horowitz SL, Hixon EH. Norms, classification, and treatment goals. In: Horowitz SL, Hixon EH.  
34 *The nature of orthodontic diagnosis*. St. Louis; C.V. Mosby Co.; 1966. p. 325-43.  
35

36 Jerrold L. Informed consent in orthodontics. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
37 *Orthopedics* 1988;93:251-8.  
38

39 Johnson AL. Basic principles of orthodontia. *Dental Cosmos* 1923;65:503-518.  
40

41 Kersey ML, Nebbe B, Major PW. Temporomandibular joint morphology changes with mandibular  
42 advancement surgery and rigid internal fixation: a systematic literature review. *Angle Orthodontist*  
43 2003;73:79–85.  
44

45 Kim MR, Grabber TM, Viana MA. Orthodontics and temporomandibular disorder: a meta-analysis.  
46 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2002;121:438–46.  
47

48 Langford SR, Sims MR. Upper molar root resorption because of distal movement. Report of a  
49 case. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1981;79:669-79.  
50

1 Machen DE. Legal aspects of orthodontic practice: risk management concepts. *Alternative*  
2 *treatment plans. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1991;99:91-2.  
3

4 Moyers RE. Standards of human occlusal development. *Craniofacial growth series No. 5* Ann  
5 Arbor, MI: Center for Human Growth and Development, University of Michigan; 1976.  
6

7 Musich DR, Chemello PD. Orthodontic aspects of orthognathic surgery. In: Graber LW,  
8 Vanarsdall RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St.  
9 Louis: Elsevier; 2017. p. 669-728.

10

11 Musich, DR. Orthodontic treatment in patients requiring jaw surgery. In: Krishnan V, Davidovitch Z.  
12 *Integrated clinical orthodontics*. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012. p. 332-365.  
13

14 Ngan P, Wei SHY. Early treatment of Class III patients to improve facial aesthetics and predict  
15 future growth. *Hong Kong Dental Journal* 2004;1:24-30. Available at:  
16 [http://dentistry.hsc.wvu.edu/media/1155/early-treatment-of-class-iii-patients-to-improve-facial-](http://dentistry.hsc.wvu.edu/media/1155/early-treatment-of-class-iii-patients-to-improve-facial-aesthetics-and-predict-future-growth.pdf)  
17 [aesthetics-and-predict-future-growth.pdf](http://dentistry.hsc.wvu.edu/media/1155/early-treatment-of-class-iii-patients-to-improve-facial-aesthetics-and-predict-future-growth.pdf). Accessed October 31, 2018.  
18

19 Palumbo B, Cassese R, Fusetti S, Tartaro GP. Psychological aspects of orthognathic treatment.  
20 *Minerva Stomatologica* 2006;55:33–42.  
21

22 Popovich F, Thompson GW. Craniofacial templates for orthodontic case analysis. *American*  
23 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1977;71:406-20.  
24

25 Proffit WR, Fields HW, Larson BE, Sarver DM. *Contemporary orthodontics*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia:  
26 Elsevier 2019.  
27

28 Remington DN, Joondeph DR, Artun J, Riedel, RA, Chapko MK. Long-term evaluation of root  
29 resorption occurring during orthodontic treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
30 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1989;96:43-6.  
31

32 Rinchuse DJ, Rinchuse DJ, Sosovicka MF, Robison JM, Pendleton R. Orthodontic treatment of  
33 patients using bisphosphonates: a report of 2 cases. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
34 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2007;131:321-6.  
35

36 Rizzoli R, Burlet N, Cahall D, Delmas PD, Eriksen EF, Felsenberg D, Grbic J, Jontell M,  
37 Landesberg R, Laslop A, Wollenhaupt M, Papapoulos S, Sezer O, Sprafka M, Reginster JY.  
38 Osteonecrosis of the jaw and bisphosphonate treatment for osteoporosis. *Bone* 2008;42:841-7.  
39

40 Roblee RD. *Interdisciplinary dentofacial therapy: a comprehensive approach to optimal patient*  
41 *care*. Chicago: Quintessence; 1994.  
42

43 Schiffman EL, Velly AM, Look JO, Hodges JS, Swift JQ, Decker KL, Anderson QN, Templeton RB,  
44 Lenton PA, Kang W, Friction JR. Effect of four treatment strategies for temporomandibular joint  
45 closed lock. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2014;43:217-26.  
46

47 Williams AC, Shah H, Sandy JR, Travess HC. Patients' motivations for treatment and their  
48 experiences of orthodontic preparation for orthognathic surgery. *Journal of Orthodontics* 2005;32:  
49 191–202.  
50

1 Williams DM, Bentley R, Cobourne MT, Gibilaro A, Good S, Huppa C, Matthews NS, O'Higgins E,  
2 Patel S, Newton JT. Psychological characteristics of women who require orthognathic surgery:  
3 comparison with untreated controls. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2009;47:191-  
4 5.

6 Younis O, Hughes DO, Weber FN. Enamel decalcification in orthodontic treatment. *American*  
7 *Journal of Orthodontics* 1979;75:678-81.

8  
9 Zachrisson BU, Usumez S, Buyukyilmaz T. Bonding in orthodontics. In: Graber LW, Vanarsdall  
10 RL, Vig KWL, Huang GJ. *Orthodontics: current principles and techniques*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis:  
11 Elsevier; 2017. p. 812-67.

### 12 **Post Treatment Evaluation and Outcomes Assessment**

13  
14  
15 American Association of Orthodontists. *Guidelines for quality assessment of orthodontic care*. St.  
16 Louis: American Association of Orthodontists; 1988.

17  
18 Bader JD. Variation, treatment outcomes, and practice guidelines in dental practice. *Journal of*  
19 *Dental Education* 1995;59:61-95.

20  
21 Bailey L, Cevidanes LH, Proffit WR. Stability and predictability of orthognathic surgery. *American*  
22 *Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2004;236:273-7.

23  
24 Bailey LJ, Dover AJ, Proffit WR. Long-term soft tissue changes after orthodontic and surgical  
25 corrections of skeletal Class III malocclusions. *Angle Orthodontist* 2007;77:389–96.

26  
27 Bailey LJ, Phillips C, Proffit WR. Long-term outcome of surgical Class III correction as a function of  
28 age at surgery. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2008;133:365–70.

29  
30 Bishara SE. Impacted maxillary canines: a review. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
31 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1992;101:159-71.

32  
33 Boyd RL. Two-year longitudinal study of a peroxide-fluoride rinse on decalcification in adolescent  
34 orthodontic patients. *Journal of Clinical Dentistry* 1992;3:83-7.

35  
36 Chateau M, Demoge PH. Evaluation of long term results of orthodontic therapy. *International*  
37 *Dental Journal* 1961;11:29-46.

38  
39 Chen SS, Greenlee GM, Kim JE, Smith CL, Huang GJ. Systematic review of self-ligating brackets.  
40 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2010;137:726.e1-18.

41  
42 Chen Y, Kyung HM, Zhao WT, Yu WJ. Critical factors for the success of orthodontic mini-implants:  
43 a systematic review. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
44 2009;135:284-91.

45  
46 Conley RS, Jernigan C. Soft tissue changes after upper premolar extraction in Class II camouflage  
47 therapy. *Angle Orthodontist* 2006;76:59–65.

48  
49 Dyken RA, Sadowsky PL, Hurst D. Orthodontic outcomes assessment using the peer assessment  
50 rating index. *Angle Orthodontist* 2001;71:164-9.

51

1 Goto S, Boyd RL, Nielsen L, Iizuka T. Long-term followup of orthodontic treatment of a patient with  
2 maxillary protrusion, severe deep overbite and thumb-sucking. *Angle Orthodontist* 1994;64:7-12.  
3

4 Graber TM. Postmortems in posttreatment adjustment. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
5 1966;52:331-52.  
6

7 Huang GJ, Roloff-Chiang B, Mills BE, Shalchi S, Spiekerman C, Korpak AM, Starrett JL, Greenlee  
8 GM, Drangsholt RJ, Matunas JC. Effectiveness of MI Paste Plus and PreviDent fluoride varnish for  
9 treatment of white spot lesions: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Orthodontics*  
10 and *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2013;143:31-41.  
11

12 Kim MR, Graber TM, Viana MA. Orthodontics and temporomandibular disorder: a meta-analysis.  
13 *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2002;121:438-46.  
14

15 Korkhaus G (moderator). Posttreatment appraisal of orthodontic results. *European Orthodontic*  
16 *Society Transactions* 1961;73-97.  
17

18 Kim SJ, Kim MR, Shin SW, Chun YS, Kim EJ. Evaluation on the psychosocial status of  
19 orthognathic surgery patients. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and*  
20 *Endodontology* 2009;108):828-32.  
21

22 Little RM, Riedel RA, Engst ED. Serial extraction of first premolars-postretention evaluation of  
23 stability and relapse. *Angle Orthodontist* 1990;60:255-62.  
24

25 Little RM, Riedel RA, Stein A. Mandibular arch length increase during the mixed dentition:  
26 postretention evaluation of stability and relapse. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
27 *Orthopedics* 1990;97:393-404.  
28

29 Little RM, Riedel RA. Postretention evaluation of stability and relapse-mandibular arches with  
30 generalized spacing. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1989;95:37-  
31 41.  
32

33 McReynolds DC, Little RM. Mandibular second premolar extraction-postretention evaluation of  
34 stability and relapse. *Angle Orthodontist* 1991;61:133-44.  
35

36 Marshall SD, Currier GF, Hatch NE, Huang GJ, Nah HD, Owens SE, Shroff B, Southard TE, Suri  
37 L, Turpin DL. Ask us. Self-ligating bracket claims. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
38 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2010;138:128-31.  
39

40 O'Brien K, Wright J, Conboy F, Appelbe P, Davies L, Connolly I, Mitchell L, Littlewood S, Mandall  
41 N, Lewis D, Sandler J, Hammond M, Chadwick S, O'Neill J, McDade C, Oskouei M,  
42 Thiruvengkatachari B, Read M, Robinson S, Birnie D, Murray A, Shaw I, Harradine N, Worthington  
43 H. Early treatment for Class II Division 1 malocclusion with the Twin-block appliance: a multi-  
44 center, randomized, controlled trial. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
45 *Orthopedics* 2009;135:573-79.  
46

47 Ormiston JP, Huang GJ, Little RM, Decker JD, Seuk GD. Retrospective analysis of long-term  
48 stable and unstable treatment outcomes. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial*  
49 *Orthopedics* 2005;128:568-74.  
50

- 1 Pennsylvania Dental Association. Quality assessment guidelines. Harrisburg, PA: Pennsylvania  
2 Dental Association; 1993.
- 3
- 4 Riedel RA, Little RM, Bui TD. Mandibular incisor extraction-postretention evaluation of stability and  
5 relapse. *Angle Orthodontist* 1992;62:103-16.
- 6
- 7 Sadowsky C, Theisen TA, Sakols EI. Orthodontic treatment and temporomandibular joint sounds-a  
8 longitudinal study. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1991;99:441-7.
- 9
- 10 Segal GR, Schiffman PH, Tuncay OC. Meta analysis of the treatment-related factors of external  
11 apical root resorption. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research* 2004;7:71-8.
- 12
- 13 Sforza C, Laino A, D'allessio R, Grandi G, Tartaglia GM, Ferrario VF. Soft-tissue facial  
14 characteristics of attractive and normal adolescent boys and girls. *Angle Orthodontist*  
15 2008;78:799–807.
- 16
- 17 Stellzig-Eisenhauer A, Lux CJ, Schuster G. Treatment decision in adult patients with Class III  
18 malocclusion: orthodontic therapy or orthognathic surgery? *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
19 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2002;122:27–38.
- 20
- 21 Suri L, Taneja P. Surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion: a literature review. *American Journal*  
22 *of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2008;133:290-302.
- 23
- 24 Vastardis H. The genetics of human tooth agenesis: new discoveries for understanding dental  
25 anomalies. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2000;177:650-56.
- 26
- 27 Wade DB. Outcomes assessed by orthodontic programs. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
28 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1994;106:109.
- 29
- 30 Weltman B, Vig KW, Fields HW, Shanker S, Kaizar EE. Root resorption associated with  
31 orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
32 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 2010;137:462-76.
- 33
- 34 Wolford LM, Chemello PD, Hilliard F. Occlusal plane alteration in orthognathic surgery - Part I:  
35 Effects of function and esthetics. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
36 1994;106:304–16.
- 37
- 38 **Retention**
- 39
- 40 Akyalcin S, Kapadia H, English JD. Retention and relapse in orthodontics. In: English JD, Akyalcin  
41 S, Peltomäki T, Litschel, K. *Mosby's orthodontic review*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby, 2015. p.  
42 293-301.
- 43
- 44 Behrents RG. A treatise on the continuum of growth in the aging craniofacial skeleton. [thesis] Ann  
45 Arbor, MI: University of Michigan; 1984.
- 46
- 47 Bjerling R, Birkeland K, Vandevska-Radunovic V. Anterior tooth alignment: A comparison of  
48 orthodontic retention regimens 5 years posttreatment. *Angle Orthodontist* 2015;85:353-9.
- 49
- 50 Bondevik O. A longitudinal study of dental arches and occlusal changes in adults from 21-33, and  
51 33 to 43 years of age. *Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics* 2015;76:79-89.

1 Goldberg AI, Behrents RG, Oliver DR, Buschang PH. Facial divergence and mandibular crowding  
2 in treated subjects. *Angle Orthodontist* 2013;83:381-8.  
3

4 Kaplan H. The logic of modern retention procedures. *American Journal of Orthodontics and*  
5 *Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1988;93:325-40.  
6

7 Kurt G, Altug-Ataç AT, Ataç MS, Karasu HA. Stability of surgically assisted rapid maxillary  
8 expansion and orthopedic maxillary expansion after 3 years' follow-up. *Angle Orthodontist* 2010;  
9 80:425-31.  
10

11 Lenz GJ, Woods MG. Incisal changes and orthodontic stability. *Angle Orthodontist* 1999;69:424-  
12 32.  
13

14 Little RM, Riedel RA, Artun J. An evaluation of changes in mandibular anterior alignment from 10  
15 to 20 years postretention. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*  
16 1988;93:423-8.  
17

18 Little RM, Wallen TR, Riedel RA. Stability and relapse of mandibular anterior alignment-first  
19 premolar extraction cases treated by traditional edgewise orthodontics. *American Journal of*  
20 *Orthodontics* 1981;80:349-65.  
21

22 Lopez-Gavito G, Wallen TR, Little RM, Joondeph DR. Anterior open-bite malocclusion: a  
23 longitudinal 10-year postretention evaluation of orthodontically treated patients. *American Journal*  
24 *of Orthodontics* 1985;87:175-86.  
25

26 Maia NG, Normando D, Maia FA, Ferreira MA, do Socorro Costa Feitosa Alves M. Factors  
27 associated with long-term patient satisfaction. *Angle Orthodontist* 2010;80:1155-8.  
28

29 Makki L, Ferguson DJ, Wilcko MT, Wilcko WM, Bjerklin K, Stapelberg R, Al-Mulla A. Mandibular  
30 irregularity index stability following alveolar corticotomy and grafting: a 10 year preliminary study.  
31 *Angle Orthodontist* 2015;85:743-9.  
32

33 Mucedero M, Coviello A, Baccetti T, Franchi L, Cozza P. Stability factors after double-jaw surgery  
34 in Class III malocclusion. A systematic review. *Angle Orthodontist* 2008;78:1141-52.  
35

36 Palma JC, Tejedor-Sanz N, Oteo MD, Alarcón JA. Long-term stability of rapid maxillary expansion  
37 combined with chincup protraction followed by fixed appliances. *Angle Orthodontist* 2015;85:270-  
38 7.  
39

40 Proffit WR, Bailey LJ, Phillips C, Turvey TA. Long-term stability of surgical open-bite correction by  
41 Le Fort I osteotomy. *Angle Orthodontist* 2000;70:112-7.  
42

43 Reitan K. Tissue rearrangement during retention of orthodontically rotated teeth. *Angle*  
44 *Orthodontist* 1959;29:105-13.  
45

46 Reitan K. Principles of retention and avoidance of posttreatment relapse. *American Journal of*  
47 *Orthodontics* 1969;55:776-90.  
48

49 Solomon MJ, English JD, Magness WB, McKee CJ. Long-term stability of lip bumper therapy  
50 followed by fixed appliances. *Angle Orthodontist* 2006;76:36-42.  
51

1 Sondhi A, Cleall JF, BeGole EA. Dimensional changes in the dental arches of orthodontically  
2 treated cases. American Journal of Orthodontics 1980;77:60-74.

3  
4 Wiedel AP, Bondemark L. Stability of anterior crossbite correction: a randomized controlled trial  
5 with a 2-year follow-up. Angle Orthodontist 2015;85:189-95.

6  
7 Zachrisson BU. Adult retention: a new approach. In: Graber LW, Graber TM. Orthodontics, state of  
8 the art, essence of the science. St. Louis: Mosby; 1986. p. 310-27.

## 9 **Record Keeping**

10  
11 American Association of Orthodontists. Orthodontics a patient education guide. St. Louis:  
12 American Association of Orthodontists; 1991.

13  
14 Eash C. Personnel file and recordkeeping. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
15 Orthopedics 1994;105:610-1.

16  
17 Jerrold L. Dental records and record keeping. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
18 Orthopedics 1993;104:98-9.

19  
20 Jerrold L. Litigation and Legislation. Destroying records. American Journal of Orthodontics and  
21 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2015;148:184-6.

22  
23 Jerrold L. Litigation and Legislation. What record is playing? American Journal of Orthodontics and  
24 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2015;147:627-31.

25  
26 Jerrold L. Litigation, legislation, and ethics. Dental records as evidence. American Journal of  
27 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2000;118:241-2.

28  
29 Jerrold L. Litigation, legislation, and ethics. Posttreatment records. American Journal of  
30 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2008;133:124-6.

31  
32 Machen DE. Legal aspects of orthodontic practice: risk management concepts. Excellent  
33 diagnostic informed consent practice and record keeping make a difference. American Journal of  
34 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1990;98:381-2.

35  
36 Morin DR. The patient's records and the defense of dental malpractice claims. American Journal  
37 of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1992;102:569-70.

38  
39 Speidel TM, Jerrold L. Litigation, legislation, and ethics. Record keeping to avoid or defend  
40 lawsuits: a defense attorney's perspective. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial  
41 Orthopedics 2004;125:754-6.

## 42 **Transfer of Orthodontic Patients**

43  
44 American Association of Orthodontists. Bylaws of the American Association of Orthodontists. St.  
45 Louis: American Association of Orthodontists; amended through 2018.

46  
47 American Association of Orthodontists. Principles of ethics, code of professional conduct and  
48 advisory opinions of the American Association of Orthodontists. St. Louis: American Association of  
49 Orthodontists; 2017, amended through 2018.

- 1 American Association of Orthodontists. Guidelines on transferring patients in active treatment. St.
- 2 Louis: American Association of Orthodontists; 2013.
- 3
- 4 Jerrold L. Litigation and legislation: problems of our own making: a view from both sides of the
- 5 coin. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2012;142:734-8.
- 6
- 7 Jerrold L. Litigation and Legislation. Transfer tragedies. American Journal of Orthodontics and
- 8 Dentofacial Orthopedics 2015;147:788-90.